

## CAUCHY-RIEMMAN SUBMANIFOLDS OF COMPLEX FINSLER MANIFOLDS

**U. S. Negi and Ashish Semwal**

Department of Mathematics, H.N.B. Garhwal University, Campus Badshahi Thaul,  
Tehri Garhwal – 249 199, Uttarakhand, India.

### ABSTRACT:

Andreotti and Hill (1972) have studied complex characteristic coordinate and tangential Cauchy-Riemann equations. Bejancu (1987) has studied complex Finsler spaces and C.R. structures. Further, Farinola (1988) has studied a characterization of the Kaehler condition on Finsler spaces also, Dragomir (1989) has studied Cauchy-riemann submanifolds of Kaehlerian Finsler Spaces. In this paper, we have studied Cauchy-Riemann submanifolds of complex Finsler manifolds and several theorems have been derived.

**KEY WORDS:** complex Finsler manifolds, Chern (c.l.c),  $\eta$  – Einstein spaces, constant curvature.

**2000 MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION:** 53C56, 53C60.

### 1. INTRODUCTION.

Let  $(M^{2n}, L, J)$  be a Kaehlerian Finsler space Dragomir and Ianus (1983), and  $(\pi^{-1}TM^{2n}, g)$  its induced Riemannian bundle. By a recent result Farnola (1988), the torsion tensor  $N_j^v$  vanishes. Therefore we may apply Bejancu (1987) such as to conclude that  $V(M^{2n}) = T(M^{2n}) \setminus 0$  admits a (naturally induced) Cauchy-Riemann (CR) structure  $H^v$ , i.e., a complex subbundle  $H^v$  of the complexified tangent bundle

$$T^c(V(M^{2n})) = T(V(M^{2n})) \otimes \mathbb{C},$$

Such that i)  $H^v$  is involutive, ii)  $H^v \cap \overline{H^v} = (0)$ , and iii)  $\ast R(H^v) = \ker(d\pi)$ , where  $\pi: V(M^{2n}) \rightarrow M^{2n}$  is the natural projection. On the other hand, let  $\tilde{J}$  be the lift of  $J$  (with respect to the nonlinear connection of the Cartan connection of  $(M^{2n}, L)$ ) cf. our (3.4). Then  $\tilde{J}$  is naturally extended (by  $\mathbb{C}$ -linearity) to

$T^c(V(M^{2n}))$ ; let  $T^{1,0}(V(M^{2n}))$  be the bundle of all eigenvalue  $i = \sqrt{-1}$  of  $\tilde{J}$ . leaving definitions momentarily aside, we formulate the following definition given by Dragomir (1989)

**Definition (1.1):** Let  $(M^{2n}, L, J)$  be a Kaehlerian Finsler space whose Cartan connection has an integrable  $(R_{jk}^i = 0)$  associated nonlinear connection  $N$  on  $V(M^{2n})$ . Then  $V(M^{2n})$  admits a Cauchy-Riemann  $*R(H^h)$  such that  $*R(H^h) = N$  and

$$(1.1) \quad T^{1,0}(V(M^{2n})) = H^h \oplus H^v.$$

**Definition (1.2):** Let  $M^m$  be an invariant, i.e.

$$j_u(\pi_u^{-1}TM^m) = \pi_u^{-1}TM^m, \quad u \in V(M^m),$$

Submanifold of the complex v-space form  $(M^{2n}(c), L, J), n \geq 2$ . Then  $M^m$  is a complex v-space form (with respect to the induced connection) of the same holomorphic v-sectional curvature  $c$  if and only if  $Q=0$ , i.e. then vertical second fundamental form vanishes.

**Definition (1.3):** Let  $M^m$  be an invariant totally-geodesic submanifold of the Kaehlerian Finsler space  $(M^{2n}, L, J)$ . Then  $M^m$  is h-minimal.

**Definition (1.4):** Let  $M^n$  be a totally-real, i.e.

$$J_u(\pi_u^{-1}TM^n) = E(\psi)_u, \quad u \in v(M^n),$$

Submanifold of the Kaehlerian Finsler space  $(M^{2n}, L, J)$ . If  $M^n$  has a v-flat normal connection (i.e.  $S^\perp = 0$  then  $M^n$  is a Berwald-Cartan space.

**Definition (1.5):** Let  $M^n$  be a totally-real submanifold of the Kaehlerian Finsler space  $(M^{2n}, L, J)$ , having an h-flat normal connection. Then the induced connection of  $M^n$  has a vanishing horizontal curvature tensor, i.e  $R=0$ .

### 3. COMPLEX FINSLER STRUCTURES.

Let  $(M^{2n}, L), n \geq 1$ , be a real  $2n$ -dimensional Finsler space with the Lagrangian function  $L: T(M^{2n}) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ . Here  $T(M^{2n}) \rightarrow M^{2n}$  denotes the tangent bundle over  $M^{2n}$ . Let  $j: M^{2n} \rightarrow T(M^{2n})$  be the natural imbedding, i.e.

$$j(x) = 0 \in T_x(M^{2n}), \quad x \in M^{2n}.$$

We put  $V(M^{2n}) = T(M^{2n}) \setminus j(M^{2n})$ .

Let  $\pi: V(M^{2n}) \rightarrow M^{2n}$  be the natural projection and  $\pi^{-1}TM^{2n} \rightarrow V(M^{2n})$  the pullback bundle of  $T(M^{2n})$  by  $\pi$ . A bundle morphism  $J: \pi^{-1}TM^{2n} \rightarrow \pi^{-1}TM^{2n}$ ,  $J^2 = -I$  is said to be a Finslerian almost complex structure on  $M^{2n}$ .

Let  $u \in V(M^{2n})$ ; then  $\pi_u^{-1}TM^{2n} = \{u\} \times T_x(M^{2n})$ ,  $x = \pi(u)$ , denotes the fiber over  $u$  in  $\pi^{-1}TM^{2n}$ . Any ordinary almost complex structure  $J: T(M^{2n}) \rightarrow T(M^{2n})$ ,  $J^2 = -1$ , admits a natural lift to a Finslerian almost complex structure  $\bar{J}$  given by

$$J_n X = (u, J_x \hat{\pi} X), \quad x = \pi(u), \quad X \in \pi_u^{-1}TM^{2n}, u \in V(M^{2n}).$$

Here  $\hat{\pi}$  denotes the projection onto the second factor of the product manifolds  $V(M^{2n}) \times T(M^{2n})$ .

Let  $(U, x^i)$  be a system of local coordinates on  $M^{2n}$  and let  $(\pi^{-1}(U), x^i, y^i)$  be the naturally induced local coordinates on  $V(M^{2n})$ . The vertical lift is the bundle isomorphism  $\gamma: \pi^{-1}TM^{2n} \rightarrow \ker(d\pi)$  defined by

$$\gamma X_i = \partial_i, \quad \partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}.$$

Clearly, the definition of  $\gamma$  does not depend upon the choice of local coordinates.

Here  $X_i(u) = \left(u, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \otimes \pi(u)\right)$ ,  $u \in \pi^{-1}(U)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 2n$ .

To make this construction precise, let us note that any tangent vector field  $X$  on  $M^{2n}$  admits a natural lift  $\bar{X}$  to a cross-section of  $\pi^{-1}TM^{2n}$  defined by

$$\bar{X}(u) = (u, X(\pi(u))), \quad u \in V(M^{2n}).$$

Note that  $X_i$  are the natural lifts of the (locally defined) tangent vector fields  $\partial/\partial x^i$ . Clearly  $\{X_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq 2n}$  form a (local) frame of  $\pi^{-1}TM^{2n}$ .

Let

$$g_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_i \partial_j L^2.$$

We put

$g_u(X, Y) = g_{ij}(u)X^iY^j$ ,  $X = X^iX_i$ ,  $Y = Y^iX_i$ ,  $u \in \pi^{-1}(U)$ , for any cross-section  $X, Y$  in  $\pi^{-1}TM^{2n}$ . The definition of  $g_u$  does not depend upon the choice of local coordinates around  $x = \pi(u)$ ,  $u \in M^{2n}$ . Since  $(M^{2n}, L)$  is a Finsler space, for each  $u \in \pi^{-1}(U)$  the quadratic form  $g_{ij}(u)\xi^i\xi^j$  is positive definite. Therefore  $\pi^{-1}TM^{2n}$  turns into a Riemannian vector bundle with the Riemann (bundle) metric  $g: u \rightarrow g_u$ . Then  $(\pi^{-1}TM^{2n}, g)$  is called induced bundle of the Finsler manifold  $(M^{2n}, L)$ . Cross-section in the induced bundle is referred to as Finsler vector fields on  $M^{2n}$ .

Let  $J$  be a Finslerian almost complex structure on  $M^{2n}$ . Since the induced bundle and the vertical bundle  $\ker(d\pi)$  (over  $V(M^{2n})$ ) are isomorphic,  $\ker(d\pi)$  turns into a Riemannian bundle in a natural way, with the metric  $g^v(Z, W) = g(\gamma^{-1}Z, \gamma^{-1}W)$  for any vertical tangent vector fields  $Z, W$  on  $(M^{2n})$ . Moreover  $\ker(d\pi)$  is a complex vector bundle since each fiber  $\ker(d_u\pi)$  carries the complex structure  $j_u^v$  defined by

$$j_u^v = \gamma_u \circ J_u \circ \gamma_u^{-1}, \quad u \in V(M^{2n}).$$

Let  $[J^v, J^v]$  be the torsion of the (1,1)-tensor fields  $J^v$ . This is  $\ker(d\pi)$ -valued, since  $\ker(d\pi)$  is involutive. Let  $JX_i = J_i^j(x, y)X_j$ . Then  $J_j^i J_k^j = -\delta_k^i$ .

We define  $N_j^v(X_i, X_j) = N_{ij}^K X_k$  where:

$$(2.1) \quad N_{jk}^i = J_j^m \frac{\partial J_k^i}{\partial y^m} - J_k^m \frac{\partial J_j^i}{\partial y^m} + J_i^m \frac{\partial J_k^m}{\partial y^j} - J_m^i \frac{\partial J_j^m}{\partial y^k}.$$

Clearly the definition of  $N_j^v$  does not depend upon the choice of local coordinates. i.e.

$$(2.2) \quad \gamma N_j^v(X, Y) = [J^v, J^v](\gamma X, \gamma Y)$$

for any Finsler vector fields  $X, Y$  on  $M^{2n}$ . Bejancu (1987) given by if  $N_j^v = 0$ . then  $J$  may be called a complex Finsler structure. Nevertheless, this concept is not entirely satisfactory since, in this sense, the natural life of any (not necessarily integrable) almost complex structure on  $M^{2n}$  is a complex Finsler structure, by (2.1).

A differentiable  $2n$ -distribution  $N: u \mapsto N_u$  on  $V(M^{2n})$  is called a nonlinear connection on  $V(M^{2n})$  if each  $N_u$  is a direct summand to the vertical space  $\ker(d_u\pi)$  in  $T_u(V(M^{2n}))$ ,  $u \in V(M^{2n})$ . In classical tensor notation  $N$  might be represented by the *Pfaffian* system:

$$(2.3) \quad dy^i + N_j^i dx^j = 0.$$

Here  $N_j^i \in C^\infty(\pi^{-1}(U))$  are the coefficients of the nonlinear connection; that is, if  $u \in \pi^{-1}(U)$ , then  $N_u$  is spanned by the tangent vectors

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i} = \partial_i - N_i^j \partial_j, \quad \partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}.$$

Let  $N$  be a nonlinear connection on  $V(M^{2n})$ . We shall the bundle epimorphism  $L: T(V(M^{2n})) \rightarrow \pi^{-1}TM^{2n}$ , defined by

$$L_u Z = (u, (d_u\pi)z), \quad Z \in T_u(V(M^{2n})), \quad u \in V(M^{2n}).$$

Clearly, the restriction of  $L_u$  to  $N_u$  is a  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear isomorphism  $N_u \approx \pi_u^{-1}TM^{2n}$ . Let  $\beta_u$  denote its inverse. The resulting bundle isomorphism  $\beta: \pi^{-1}TM^{2n} \rightarrow N$  is referred to as the horizontal lift (with respect to the nonlinear connection  $N$ ). If  $J$  is a Finslerian almost complex structure on  $M^{2n}$  then we may put  $J^h = \beta \circ J \circ L$  such as to define a complex structure on the bundle  $N \rightarrow V(M^{2n})$ .

We shall need the Dombrowski mapping, i.e. the bundle morphism

$$G: T(V(M^{2n})) \rightarrow \pi^{-1}TM^{2n}, \quad GZ = \gamma^{-1}Z_v,$$

Where  $Z_v$  denotes the vertical part of  $Z$  with respect to the direct to the sum decomposition

$$T_u(V(M^{2n})) = N_u \oplus \ker(d_u\pi), \quad u \in V(M^{2n}).$$

With any Finslerian almost complex structure  $J$  one may associate an almost complex structure  $\tilde{J}$  on  $V(M^{2n})$  defined by:

$$(2.4) \quad \tilde{J} = \beta \circ J \circ L + \gamma \circ J \circ G.$$

Note that the restriction of  $J_u$  to  $N_u$ , respectively to  $\ker(d_u\pi)$ , coincides with  $J_u^h$ , respectively with  $J_u^v$ , for any  $u \in V(M^{2n})$ .

Let  $(M^{2n}, L)$  be a Finsler manifold and  $(\pi^{-1}TM^{2n}, g)$  its induced bundle. Let  $N$  be a fixed nonlinear connection on  $V(M^{2n})$ . The Sasaki metric  $\tilde{g}$  is given by:

$$(2.5) \quad \tilde{g}(Z, W) = g(LZ, LW) + g(GZ, GW).$$

Thus  $V(M^{2n})$  turns naturally into a (noncompact) Riemannian manifold. Moreover,  $(V(M^{2n}), \tilde{g}, \tilde{J})$  is well known to be almost Hermitian.

We shall need the torsion  $N_j^h(X_i, X_j) = A_{ij}^k X_k$  where:

$$(2.6) \quad A_{ij}^k = J_j^m \frac{\delta J_k^i}{\delta x^m} - J_k^m \frac{\delta J_j^i}{\delta x^m} + J_m^i \frac{\delta J_k^m}{\delta x^j} - J_i^m \frac{\delta J_j^m}{\delta x^k}.$$

Clearly the definition of  $N_j^h$  does not depend upon the choice of local coordinates. Therefore we have

$$(2.7) \quad N_j^h(X, Y) = L[J^h, J^h](\beta X, \beta Y)$$

For any Finsler vector fields  $X, Y$  on  $M^{2n}$ .

### 3. KAEHLERIAN FINSLER MANIFOLDS.

Let  $(M^{2n}, L)$  be a Finsler manifold endowed with the Finslerian almost complex structure  $J$ . Then  $(M^{2n}, L, J)$  is said to be an almost Hermitian Finsler manifold if  $g(JX, JY) = g(X, Y)$ , for any Finsler vector fields  $X, Y$  on  $V(M^{2n})$ . Let  $\nabla$  be a connection in the induced bundle  $(\pi^{-1}TM^{2n}, g)$ . It is said to be metrical if  $\nabla_g = 0$ , respectively almost complex if  $\nabla J = 0$ . A tangent vector field  $Z$  on  $V(M^{2n})$  is horizontal (with respect to  $\nabla$ ) if  $\nabla_Z v = 0$ . Here  $v$  denotes the Liouville vector field, i.e. the cross section in the induced bundle defined by

$$v(u) = (u, u), u \in V(M^{2n}).$$

Let  $N$  be the distribution of all horizontal tangent vectors on  $(M^{2n})$ ; it is referred to as the horizontal distribution of  $\nabla$ . Then  $\nabla$  is regular if its horizontal distribution  $N$  is a nonlinear connection on  $V(M^{2n})$ . A pair  $(\nabla, N)$  consisting of a connection in  $\pi^{-1}TM^{2n}$  and a nonlinear connection on  $V(M^{2n})$  is called a Finsler connection on  $M^{2n}$ . Here we have any regular connection in  $\pi^{-1}TM^{2n}$  gives rise to a Finsler connection on  $M^{2n}$ .

Let  $(\nabla, N)$  be a Finsler connection; then two concept of torsion tensor fields are usually associated with  $(\nabla, N)$ , namely

$$\tilde{T}(Z, W) = \nabla_Z L W - \nabla_W L Z - L[Z, W],$$

$$\tilde{T}_1(Z, W) = \nabla_Z G W - \nabla_W G Z - G[Z, W],$$

For any tangent vector fields  $Z, W$  on  $V(M^{2n})$ . Let also  $\tilde{R}$  denote the curvature 2-form of  $\nabla$ . Several fragments of  $\tilde{T}$ ,  $\tilde{T}_1$  and  $\tilde{R}$  are usually derived by means of the bundle morphisms i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} T(X, Y) &= \tilde{T}(\beta X, \beta Y), & C(X, Y) &= \tilde{T}(\gamma X, \beta Y), \\ R^1(X, Y) &= \tilde{T}_1(\beta X, \beta Y), & P^1(X, Y) &= \tilde{T}_1(\gamma X, \beta Y), \\ S^1(X, Y) &= \tilde{T}_1(\gamma X, \gamma Y), & R(X, Y)Z &= \tilde{R}(\beta X, \beta Y)Z, \\ P(X, Y)Z &= \tilde{R}(\gamma X, \beta Y)Z, & S(X, Y)Z &= \tilde{R}(\gamma X, \gamma Y)Z. \end{aligned}$$

We may define no 'vertical' component of  $\tilde{T}$  since clearly  $\tilde{T}(\gamma X, \gamma Y) = 0$ . For any Finsler vector fields  $X, Y$  on  $M^{2n}$ .

Therefore we have,

$$(3.1) \quad \gamma R^1(X, Y) = [\beta X, \beta Y],$$

i.e.  $R^1$  is the obstruction towards the integrability on  $N$ . In spite of being defined in terms of  $\nabla$  the torsion  $R^1$  depends essentially on  $N$  only, as easily seen in local coordinates, i.e.

$$R_{jk}^i = \frac{\delta N_j^i}{\delta x^k} - \frac{\delta N_k^i}{\delta x^j},$$

Where  $R^1(X_i, X_j) = R_{ij}^k X_k$ .

The fundamental theorem of Finsler geometry asserts that there exists a unique regular connection  $\nabla$  in the induced bundle  $(\pi^{-1}TM^{2n}, g)$  of the given Finsler manifolds  $(M^{2n}, L)$ .

Such that (i)  $\nabla$  is metrical, (ii)  $T=0, S^1 = 0$ . It is referred to as the Cartan connection of  $(M^{2n}, L)$ . Then  $(M^{2n}, L, J)$  is called a Kaehlerian Finsler manifolds if its Cartan connection is almost complex given by Dragomir and Ianus (1982).

#### 4. CAUCHY-RIEMANN STRUCTURE ON THE TANGENT BUNDLE

If  $N$  is an arbitrary  $C^\infty$ -manifolds and  $T^c(N) = T(N) \otimes \mathbb{C}$  denotes the complexification of its tangent bundle, then a Cauchy-Riemann (CR) structure on  $N$  is a complex subbundle  $H$  of  $T^c(N)$ .

Such that (i)  $H \cap \bar{H} = 0$  (ii)  $H$  is involutive. Here a bar denotes complex conjugation.

Let  $J$  be a Finslerian almost complex structure on  $M^{2n}$ . Let  $N$  be a nonlinear connection on  $V(M^{2n})$ . If  $N_j^h = 0$ , we consider the  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector subbundle  $H^h$  of  $T^c(V(M^{2n}))$  defined by  $\mapsto H_u^h$ , where  $H_u^h$  consists of all complex tangent vectors  $X \otimes 1 - J^h X \otimes i$ ,  $i = \sqrt{-1}$ ,  $X \in N_u$ ,  $u \in V(M^{2n})$ .

Suppose  $R^1 = 0$ . By (2.7) and (3.1), it follows that  $[J^h, J^h] = 0$ . Consequently  $H^h$  is involutive. Also, by the definition of  $H^h$ , one has  $*R(H_u) = N_u$ ,  $u \in V(M^{2n})$ . That is  $V(M^{2n})$  turns into a CR manifold.

## REFERENCES

- [1]. A. Andreotti and C.D. Hill, Complex characteristic coordinates and tangential Cauchy-Riemann equations, Ann, Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Sci. Fis Nat.26(1972),299-324.
- [2]. O. Amici and S.Dragomir, On the almost complex structures with a vanishing torsion tensor field, Rendiconti di Matem. Roma (3) 4(1984), 439-455.
- [3]. A . Bejancu, Complex Finsler spaces and C.R. structures, An St. Univ. Iasi 33 (1987),69-83.
- [4]. A. Bejancu, Geometry Finsler subspaces, I An, St. Univ.Iasi 31(1986), 69-83.
- [5]. A. Bejancu, Geometry of Finsler subspaces,II, Bul.Inst.Polit.Lasi 31(1985), 67-73.
- [6]. S.Dragomir and S. Lanus, On the holomorphic sectional curvature of Kachlerian Finsler spaces, , Tensor 39 (1982),95-98.
- [7]. S. Dragomir and S. Lanus. On the holomorphic sectional curvature of Kachlerian Finsler Spaces. Rendiconti di Mate. Rome (4)3(1983),757-763.
- [8]. S. Dragomir and S. Lanus. On the geometry of the Finslerian almost complex spaces, Note di Matem. Lecce 3 (1983),159-171.
- [9]. S. Dragomir, p- Distributions on differentiable manifolds, An. St. Univ. Lasi 28(1982),55-58.
- [10]. S. Dragomir, Submanifolds of Finsler spaces. Confereze del seminario di Matem. Dell' Univ. di Bari 217(1986),1-15.
- [11]. A. Farnola, A characterization of the Kachler condition on Finsler spaces Boll G.M.I.(7) Univ.3(1988),59-65.

- [12]. Y.Lchijyo, Almost complex structures of tangent bundles and Finsler metrics, J. Math. Kyoto Univ.3(1967),419-452.
- [13]. M. Matsumoto, The induced and intrinsic Finsler connections of a hypersurface and Finslerian projective geometry, J.Math. Kyoto Univ. 25(1985).107-144.
- [14]. M. Matsumoto, Foundations of Finsler Geometry and Special, Kasheisha Press, Kyoto, 1986.
- [15]. H. Rund, The Differential Geometry of finsler Spaces, Springer, Berlin. 1959.
- [16]. K. yano and M. Kon, C.R. Submanifolds of Kaehlerian and Sasakian manifolds, in Progress in Mathematics vol. 30, ed. By J. Coates and S. Helgason, Birkhauser, Boston-Basal-Stuttgat, 1983.
- [17] U.S.Negi and Aparna Rawat, Some theorems on almost Kaehlerian spaces with recurrent and symmetric projective curvature tensors. ACTA Ciencia Indica, Vol. XXXVM, No 3(2009), pp. 947-951.