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#### Abstract

In this paper we study the Hankel type convolution operators on the space of even and entire functions and on Schwartz distribution spaces. We characterize the Hankel type convolution operators as those ones that commute with Hankel type translations and with a Bessel type operator. Also we prove that the Hankel type convolution operators are hypercyclic and chaotic on the spaces under consideration.
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1. Introduction: The Hankel integral transformation appears taking different forms in the literature (see [21,25,35]). Here we define the Hankel type transformation $h_{\alpha, \beta}$ through [21]

$$
h_{\alpha, \beta}(\phi)(x)=\int_{0}^{\infty}(x y)^{-(\alpha-\beta)} J_{\alpha-\beta}(x y) \phi(y) y^{4 \alpha} d y, \quad x \in(0, \infty)
$$

where $J_{\alpha-\beta}$ is the Bessel type function of the first kind and order $\alpha-\beta$. Throughout this paper we will always assume that the order $(\alpha-\beta)$ is greater that $-\frac{1}{2}$. If $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then Hankel transform $h_{(n-2) / 2}$ of order $(n-2) / 2$ appears when it calculates the Euclidean Fourier transform of functions defined on $\mathfrak{R}^{n}$ having radial symmetry.

The convolution operation by the Hankel type transform $h_{\alpha, \beta}$ - transformation was investigated by Hirschman [22], Haimo [20] and Cholewinski [11].

To simply we denote by $L_{1, \alpha, \beta}$ the space $L^{1}\left((0, \infty), x^{4 \alpha} d x\right)$, where $d x$ represents the Labesgue measure on $(0, \infty)$, that is a measurable function $f$ is in $L_{1, \alpha, \beta}$ if and only if

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty}|f| x^{4 \alpha} d x<\infty
$$

If $f, g \in L_{1, \alpha, \beta}$, the Hankel type convolution $f \#_{\alpha, \beta} g$ of $f$ and $g$ order $\alpha-\beta$ is defined by

$$
\left.\left(f \#_{\alpha, \beta} g\right)(x)=\int_{0}^{\infty} f(y){ }_{\alpha, \beta} \tau_{x} g\right)(y) \frac{y^{4 \alpha}}{2^{\alpha-\beta} \Gamma(3 \alpha+\beta)} \text { dy a.e. } x \in(0, \infty)
$$

where the Hankel type translation operator ${ }_{\alpha, \beta} \tau_{x}, x \in(0, \infty)$ is given by

$$
\left({ }_{\alpha, \beta} \tau_{x} g\right)(y)=\int_{0}^{\infty} g(z) D_{\alpha, \beta}(x, y, z) \frac{z^{4 \alpha}}{2^{\alpha-\beta} \Gamma(3 \alpha+\beta)} d z \text { a.e. } y \in(0, \infty)
$$

Also ${ }_{\alpha, \beta} \tau o g=g$. Here a.e. is understood to be with respect to the Lebesgue measure and the Kernel $D_{\alpha, \beta}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{\alpha, \beta}(x, y, z) & =\left(2^{\alpha-\beta} \Gamma(3 \alpha+\beta)\right)^{2} \int_{0}^{\infty}(x t)^{-(\alpha-\beta)} J_{\alpha-\beta}(x t)(y t)^{-(\alpha-\beta)} J_{\alpha-\beta}(y t) \\
& \times(z t)^{-(\alpha-\beta)} J_{\alpha-\beta}(z t) t^{4 \alpha} d t, \quad x, y, z \in(0, \infty)
\end{aligned}
$$

The Hankel type transformation satisfies the following interchange formula with respect to $\#_{\alpha, \beta}$ convolution [22, Theorem 2.d]

$$
h_{\alpha, \beta}\left(f \#_{\alpha, \beta} g\right)=h_{\alpha, \beta}(f) h_{\alpha, \beta}(g), f, g \in L_{1, \alpha, \beta}
$$

In the sequel, since any confusion is unlinked, we write $\#, \tau_{x}, x \in(0, \infty)$, and $D$ instead of $\#_{\alpha, \beta},{ }_{\alpha, \beta} \tau_{x}, x \in(0, \infty)$ and $D_{\alpha, \beta}$ respectively. Zemanian [33-35] studied the Hankel transformation on distribution spaces. We considered, for the Hankel transformation, the following form

$$
H_{\alpha, \beta}(\phi)(x)=\int_{0}^{\infty}(x y)^{\alpha+\beta} J_{\alpha-\beta}(x y) \phi(y) d y, x \in(0, \infty) .
$$

It is clear that $h_{\alpha, \beta}$ and $H_{\alpha, \beta}$ are closely connected.
In [1], it was defined that space $\mathcal{H}$ consists of all those complex and smooth functions $\phi$ on $(0, \infty)$ such that, for every $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, the quantity

$$
\rho_{m, n}(\phi)=\operatorname{Sup}_{x \in(0, \infty)}\left(1+x^{2}\right)^{m}\left|\left(\frac{1}{x} D\right)^{n} \phi(x)<\infty\right|
$$

$\mathcal{H}$ is equipped with the topology generated by the family $\left\{\rho_{m, n}\right\}_{m, n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of seminorms.
Thus $\mathcal{H}$ is a Frechet space and $h_{\alpha, \beta}$ is an automorphism of $\mathcal{H}$ [1, Sat 5]. The Hankel transformation is defined on $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}$, the dual space of $\mathcal{H}$, by transposition

Let $a>0 \cdot$ According to [1], the space $B^{a}$ consists of all the functions $\phi \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\phi(x)=0, x \geq a . B^{a}$ is a complete sub-space of $\mathcal{H} \cdot$ Moreover $B^{a}$ is continuously contained in $B^{b}$, provided that $0<a<b<\infty$. The union space $B=\bigcup_{a>0} B^{a}$ is equipped with the inductive topology. The Hankel type transform $h_{\alpha, \beta} B^{a}$ of $B^{a}, a>0$ can be characterized by using[34,Theorem1].

According to [16, Corollary 4.8], the space $\mathcal{H}$ coincides with the space $S_{\text {even }}$ of all the even functions in the Schwartz Space S . Moreover, for every $a>0$, the space $B^{a}$ agrees with the space $\mathfrak{D}_{a}$ considered by Trimeche [29] and that is constituted by all the functions $\phi \in S_{\text {even }}$ such that $\phi(x)=0, \quad|x| \leq a \cdot$ Then the space $\mathfrak{D}_{*}=\mathrm{U}_{a>0} \mathfrak{D}_{a}[29]$ coincides with the space B.

As in [29], $\varepsilon_{*}$ denotes the space of all those complex valued, smooth and even functions defined on $\mathbb{R}$. $\varepsilon_{*}$ is endowed with the usual topology and it coincides with the space $x^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} \varepsilon_{\mu}$, where $\varepsilon_{\mu}$ is the space introduced in [5] as it was defined as follows.

A complex and smooth function $f$ defined on $(0, \infty)$ is in $\varepsilon_{\mu}$ if and only if for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists the following limit

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left(\frac{1}{x} \frac{d}{d x}\right)^{k} f(x)
$$

The convolution for the Hankel type $H_{\alpha, \beta}$ transformation can be defined by making a straightforward modification in the convolution \# defined by Hirschman [22]. The study of the distributional Hankel convolution was started by de Sousa-Pinto [26] who considered only the order $\mu=0 \cdot$ In a series of papers by Betancor and Marrero [5,6,30,23] have investigated the Hankel convolution on the Zemanian's distribution spaces. More recently Waphare [31] have defined the Hankel type convolution of distributions with exponential growth.

In this paper we study Hankel type convolution operators on the Schwartz distribution spaces and on the space $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$ of even and entire functions. In section 2, we define the Hankel type transformation on the dual space $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})^{\prime}$ of $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$. The Hankel type convolution operators on $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C}), \varepsilon_{*}$ and their duals are studied in Section 3. We characterize the linear and continuous mappings from $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$ into itself that commute with the Hankel type translation $\tau_{z}$, for each $z \in \mathbb{C}$, as the Hankel convolution operators defined by the functional in $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})^{\prime}$. The corresponding result on the space $\mathfrak{D}_{*}$ was obtained in Section 4 .

Suppose now that $X$ is a topological linear space and T is a continuous linear operator from X into itself An element $x \in X$ is called hypercyclic for T when the set $\left\{T^{n} x: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is dense in X. The importance of hypercyclic vectors derives from the study of closed invariant subsets. The paper of Grosse-Erdman [19] is an excellent survey of the state of art concerning hypercyclic operators, that is operators having hypercyclic vectors. According to Bonet [9] (See also Devaney [14] and Banks et al. [2]), we say that T is a chaotic operators if T satisfies the following two conditions:
(i) T is topologically transitive, that is for every pair of open sets $U$ and $V$ of X there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ for which $T^{n}(U) \cap V \neq \phi$.
(ii) The set of periodic vectors of T is dense in X . As usual, we say that a vector $x \in X$ is periodic for $T$ when there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $T^{n} x=x$.

Note that each hypercyclic operator is topologically transitive.
Godefroy and Shapiro [18] extended the celebrated classical results of Birkhoff [8] and Maclane [24] proving that every partial differential operator that is not a scalar multiple of the identity operator is hypercyclic and Chaotic on $C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \cdot$ Bonet [9] established that the usual convolution operators that are not scalar multiples of the Dirac $\delta$ - functional are hyper cyclic and Chaotic on the Beurling ultradifferentiable functions.

In sections 3 and 4, we establish that the Hankel type convolution operators defined by functional in $\mathcal{E}_{*}^{\prime}$ are hypercyclic and Chaotic on $\mathcal{E}_{*}$ and $\mathfrak{D}_{*}^{\prime}$, when on $\mathfrak{D}_{*}^{\prime}$ the strong topology is considered.

Throughout this paper we always denote by C a positive constant that can change from a line to the other one. We need to use some properties of the Bessel functions that can be encountered in the extensive monograph of Watson [32]
2. The Hankel type transformation on the space $\mathcal{H}_{\boldsymbol{e}}(\mathbb{C})^{\prime}$ the dual of $\mathcal{H}_{\boldsymbol{e}}(\mathbb{C})$ :

By $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$ we denote the space of the even and entire functions. We equip $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$ as usual, with the topology of the uniform convergence on the compact subsets of $(\mathbb{C})$. If we define, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the norm

$$
\gamma_{n}(f)=\operatorname{Sup}_{|z| \leq n+1}|f(z)|, f \in \mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})
$$

the system $\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ generates the topology of $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$. Thus $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$ is a Frechet space $[27$, p.231].

It is simple exercise that $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$ is continuously contained in the space $\mathcal{E}_{*}$, that is $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$ is a subspace of $\mathcal{E}_{*}$ and the topology of $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$ is finer than the one induced in $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$ by $\mathcal{E}_{*}$.

The dual space of $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$ is represented by $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})^{\prime}$. It is clear that for every $z \in(\mathbb{C})$, the function

$$
f_{z}(t)=2^{\alpha-\beta} \Gamma(3 \alpha+\beta)(z t)^{-(\alpha-\beta)} J_{\alpha-\beta}(z t), t \in(\mathbb{C}) \text { is in } \mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C}) .
$$

We define the Hankel type transform $h_{\alpha, \beta}(T)$ of $T \in \mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})^{\prime}$ by

$$
h_{\alpha, \beta}(T)(z)=2^{\alpha-\beta} \Gamma(3 \alpha+\beta)<T(t),(z t)^{-(\alpha-\beta)} J_{\alpha-\beta}(z t)>, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}
$$

Note that since for every $\in \mathbb{C}$, the series

$$
(z t)^{-(\alpha-\beta)} J_{\alpha-\beta}(z t)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k}}{2^{2 k+\alpha-\beta} k!\Gamma(\alpha-\beta+k+1)}(t z)^{2 k}, t \in \mathbb{C},
$$

converges in $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$, we can write that, for every $T \in \mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})^{\prime}$,

$$
h_{\alpha, \beta}(T)(z)=\Gamma(3 \alpha+\beta) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k}}{2^{2 k} k!\Gamma(\alpha-\beta+k+1)} z^{2 k}<T(t), t^{2 k}>, z \in \mathbb{C} .
$$

Thus, $h_{\alpha, \beta}(T) \in \mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$ provided that $T \in \mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})^{\prime}$.
From [1], it is clear that the Hankel type transformation on the space $\mathcal{E}_{*}^{\prime}$ is contained in $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})^{\prime} \cdot$ According to [5, proposition 4.6], the definition given for the Hankel type transformation on $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})^{\prime}$ extends the definition of the Hankel type transformation on $\mathcal{E}_{*}^{\prime} \cdot$

We now characterize the functions in $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$ that belong to the image $h_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})^{\prime}\right)$ of $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})^{\prime}$ by $h_{\alpha, \beta} \cdot$ Our next result, that is a Hankel version of the one presented in [28, p. 474475] for the Fourier transformation, shows that $h_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})^{\prime}\right)$ is actually independent of $\alpha-\beta$.
Proposition 2.1: Let $f$ be $a$ function in $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$. Then the following assertions are equivalent.
(i) There exists $T \in\left(\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})^{\prime}\right)$ such that $f=h_{\alpha, \beta}(T)$.
(ii) The function f is of exponential type, that exist $A, B>0$ for which $|f(z)| \leq B e^{A|z|}, z \in$ $\mathbb{C}$.

Proof: Suppose firstly that $f=h_{\alpha, \beta}(T)$, for some $T \in\left(\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})^{\prime}\right) \cdot$ Since $T \in\left(\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})^{\prime}\right)$, there exists $\mathrm{C}>0$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

Hence, by using the Hahn-Banach theorem, duality arguments and by arguing as in [27, p.231] (See also [6]), we can find a complex measure $\lambda$ having bounded support such that

$$
\langle T, g\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{C}} g(z) d \lambda(z), g \in \mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C}) .
$$

In particular, it has

$$
h_{\alpha, \beta}(T)(z)=2^{\alpha-\beta} \Gamma(3 \alpha+\beta) \int_{\mathbb{C}}(z t)^{-(\alpha-\beta)} J_{\alpha-\beta}(z t) d \lambda(t), z \in \mathbb{C} .
$$

According to $[17,(5.3 . b)]$, we can write

$$
\left|h_{\alpha, \beta}(T)(z)\right| \leq C e^{a|z|}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C},
$$

where $a>0$ is such that the support of $\lambda$ is contained in the disc $D(0, a)$ centered in the origin and of radius a.

Hence $h_{\alpha, \beta}(T)$ is an even and entire function of exponential type. Assume now $f$ is a function in $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$ of exponential type, that is for certain $A, B>0,|f(z)| \leq B e^{A|z|}, z \in \mathbb{C}$. We put

$$
f(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k} \frac{z^{2 k}}{2^{2 k} k!\Gamma(\alpha-\beta+k+1)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}
$$

Note that thus $a_{k}=\left(\Delta_{\alpha, \beta}^{k} f\right)(0)$, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\Delta_{\alpha, \beta}$ denotes the Bessel type operator $z^{4 \beta-2} D z^{4 \alpha} D$.
According to the Cauchy integral formula, it follows that

$$
\frac{\left|a_{k}\right|}{2^{2 k} k!\Gamma(\alpha-\beta+k+1)} \leq C e^{A R} R^{-2 k} \quad, \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \text { and } R>0
$$

Hence, Stirling's formula implies that, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $R>0$,

$$
\left|a_{k}\right| \leq C 2^{2 k}(\alpha-\beta+k)^{\alpha-\beta+k} e^{-(\alpha-\beta)-k} \sqrt{2 \pi(\alpha-\beta+k)} k^{k} e^{-k} \sqrt{2 \pi k} e^{A R} R^{-2 k}
$$

Then, by taking, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}-\{0\}, R=\frac{2 k}{A}$, it follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{k}\right| \leq C\left(\frac{\alpha-\beta+k}{k}\right)^{k}(\alpha-\beta+k)^{2 \alpha} \sqrt{k} A^{2 k} \leq C M^{2 k} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $M>0$.
Suppose now $\gamma$ is a closed simple path having the origin in its interior. For every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\gamma}(z t)^{-(\alpha-\beta)} J_{\alpha-\beta}(z t) t^{-2 m-1} d t
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k} z^{2 k}}{2^{2 k+\alpha-\beta} k!\Gamma(\alpha-\beta+k+1)} \int_{\gamma} t^{2 k-2 m-1} d t \\
& =\frac{(-1)^{m} z^{2 m}}{2^{2 m+\alpha-\beta} m!\Gamma(\alpha-\beta+m+1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, since by (2.1) the series

$$
\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{m}(-1)^{m} z^{-2 m-1}
$$

converges for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|z|>M$, if $\gamma$ represents the circle with centre 0 and radius 2 M then
$f(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\gamma}(z t)^{-(\alpha-\beta)} J_{\alpha-\beta}(z t) 2^{\alpha-\beta} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{m} a_{m} t^{-2 m-1} d t, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}$.
We now define the functional T on $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$ by

$$
\langle T, g\rangle=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\gamma} g(t) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m}}{\Gamma(3 \alpha+\beta)} a_{m} t^{-2 m-1} d t, g \in \mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})
$$

Thus $T \in \mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})^{\prime} \cdot$ Indeed, for every $g \in \mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$, from (2.1), it follows that

$$
|\langle T, g\rangle| \leq C \operatorname{Sup}_{|z| \leq 2 M}|g(z)|, \quad g \in \mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})
$$

Moreover (2.2) says that $h_{\alpha, \beta}(T)=1$.
Thus proof is completed.
Remark 1: According to proposition 2.1, the Hankel type transformation of an element of $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})^{\prime}$ is always actually the Hankel type transform of a complex measure on ( $\mathbb{C}$ ) having compact support. The Hankel type transforms of measures on $(0, \infty)$ has been studied, for instance in [13].
Remark 2: Proposition 2.1 can be seen as an extension of [5, Theorem 4.9] where Paley-Wiener type theorem for Hankel type transforms of the elements of $\varepsilon_{*}^{\prime}$ were established.

We now establish a uniqueness theorem for Hankel type transforms on $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})^{\prime}$. Our next result will be also useful in the sequel.
Proposition 2.2: If $V$ is a subset of $\{\mathbb{C}\}$ having adherence points, then the linear space

$$
\mathcal{M}_{v}=\operatorname{span}\left\{(. z)^{-(\alpha-\beta)} J_{\alpha-\beta}(z): z \in V\right\}
$$

generated by the functions $(z .)^{-(\alpha-\beta)} J_{\alpha-\beta}(z), z \in V$, is dense in $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$.
In particular, if $T \in \mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})^{\prime}$ and $h_{\alpha, \beta}(T)=0$ then $\mathrm{T}=0$.

Proof: Suppose that $T \in \mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})^{\prime}$ and $T=0$ on $\mathcal{M}_{v} \cdot$ There exists a complex measure $\lambda$ having compact support [27, p.23] such that

$$
\langle T, f\rangle=\int_{C} f(t) d \lambda(t), f \in \mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})
$$

The function $F=h_{\alpha, \beta}(T)$ is even and entire. Moreover since $T=0$ on $\mathcal{M}_{v}, F=0$ on V . Hence $F=0$ on $\{\mathbb{C}\}$.
Differentiating under the integral sign we obtain

$$
\Delta_{\alpha, \beta}^{k} F(z)=\int_{\mathbb{C}}\left(-t^{2}\right)^{k}(z t)^{-(\alpha-\beta)} J_{\alpha-\beta}(z t) d \lambda(t), \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \text { and } z \in \mathbb{C}
$$

where $\Delta_{\alpha, \beta}$ represents the Bessel type operator $z^{4 \beta-2} D z^{4 \alpha} D \cdot$ Hence for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{\alpha, \beta}^{k} F(0) & =2^{\alpha-\beta} \Gamma(3 \alpha+\beta) \int_{\mathbb{C}}(-1)^{k} t^{2 k} d \lambda(t) \\
& =(-1)^{k} 2^{\alpha-\beta} \Gamma(3 \alpha+\beta)<T(t), \quad t^{2 k}>=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\langle T, f\rangle=0$ for every $f \in \mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$.
Proof will be completed by using Hahn-Banach theorem.
3. Hankel type translation and Hankel type convolution on the spaces $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$ and $\varepsilon_{*}$ and their duals :

We start this section by studying the Hankel type translation operator on the space $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C}) \cdot$
Following [12, p.7], it can be established that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,
$\tau_{x}\left(t^{2 n}\right)(y)=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k} \frac{\Gamma(n+3 \alpha+\beta) \Gamma(3 \alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(n-k+3 \alpha+\beta) \Gamma(k+3 \alpha+\beta)} x^{2(n-k)} y^{2 k}$,
$x, y \in[0, \infty)$.
Proof of (3.1) may be found in [4].
Let $f \in \mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$ and assume that
$f(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{2 k}, z \in \mathbb{C}$, where $a_{k} \in \mathbb{C}, k \in \mathbb{N} \cdot$ For every $x, y \in[0, \infty)$, we can write

$$
\left(\tau_{x} f\right)(y)=\int_{|x-y|}^{x+y} D(x, y, z)\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{2 n}\right) \frac{z^{4 \alpha}}{2^{\alpha-\beta} \Gamma(3 \alpha+\beta)} d z
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} \int_{|x-y|}^{x+y} D(x, y, z) z^{2 n} \frac{z^{4 \alpha}}{2^{\alpha-\beta} \Gamma(3 \alpha+\beta)} d z \\
& =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k} \frac{\Gamma(n+3 \alpha+\beta) \Gamma(3 \alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(n-k+3 \alpha+\beta) \Gamma(k+3 \alpha+\beta)} x^{2(n-k)} y^{2 k}
\end{aligned}
$$

We now define the Hankel type translate $\tau_{z} f$ of $f \in \mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\tau_{z} f\right)(t)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k} \frac{\Gamma(n+3 \alpha+\beta) \Gamma(3 \alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(n-k+3 \alpha+\beta) \Gamma(k+3 \alpha+\beta)} z^{2(n-k)} t^{2 k}, \quad z, \quad t \in \mathbb{C} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that, for every $z, t \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|a_{n}\right| \sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k} \frac{\Gamma(n+3 \alpha+\beta) \Gamma(3 \alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(n-k+3 \alpha+\beta) \Gamma(k+3 \alpha+\beta)}|z|^{2(n-k)}|t|^{2 k} \\
& =\int_{||z|-|t||}^{|z|+|t|} D(|z|,|t|, x)\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|a_{n}\right| x^{2 n}\right) \frac{x^{4 \alpha}}{2^{\alpha-\beta} \Gamma(3 \alpha+\beta)} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence the series defining $\tau_{z} f$ converges uniformly on each compact subset of $\mathbb{C} \cdot$ We can interchange the order of summation to obtain that

$$
\left(\tau_{z} f\right)(t)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(3 \alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(k+3 \alpha+\beta)} t^{2 k} \sum_{n=k}^{\infty}\binom{n}{k} z^{2(n-k)} a_{n} \frac{\Gamma(n+3 \alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(n-k+3 \alpha+\beta)}, z, t \in \mathbb{C}
$$

Thus we prove that $\tau_{z} f$ is in $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$, for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$.
Proposition 3.1: (i) For every $\in \mathbb{C}$, the Hankel type translation $\tau_{z}$ defines continuous linear mapping from $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$ into itself.
(ii) Let $f \in \mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$. Then the (nonlinear) mapping $F_{f}$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{f}: \mathbb{C} & \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C}) \\
z & \rightarrow \tau_{z} f
\end{aligned}
$$

is continuous from $\mathbb{C}$ into $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$.
Proof: (i) Let $\in \mathbb{C}$. For every $f \in \mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C}), \tau_{z} f$ is also in $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$. Suppose now that $\left\{f_{v}\right\}_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence in $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$ such that $f_{v} \rightarrow f$, as $v \rightarrow \infty$ in $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$ and $\tau_{z} f_{v} \rightarrow g$, as $v \rightarrow \infty$, in $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$.

Since $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$ is continuously contained in $\mathcal{E}_{*}, f_{v} \rightarrow f$, as $v \rightarrow \infty$ in $\mathcal{E}_{*}$. Then, by [29, (2), 2] we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\tau_{z_{v}}\left(y^{2 n}\right)(t)-\tau_{z_{0}}\left(y^{2 n}\right)(t)\right| & \leq \tau_{\left|z_{v}\right|}\left(y^{2 n}\right)(|t|)+\tau_{\left|z_{0}\right|}\left(y^{2 n}\right)(|t|) \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{c} D\left(\left|z_{v}\right|,|t|, y\right) y^{2 n} \frac{y^{4 \alpha}}{2^{\alpha-\beta} \Gamma(3 \alpha+\beta)} d y
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\int_{0}^{c} D\left(\left|z_{0}\right|,|t|, y\right) y^{2 n} \frac{y^{4 \alpha}}{2^{\alpha-\beta} \Gamma(3 \alpha+\beta)} d y \\
& \leq 2 c^{2 n}, v \in \mathbb{N}-\{0\} \text { and }|t| \leq a, \text { where }
\end{aligned}
$$

$c=a+b$.
Hence, if $f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{2 n}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}$, then for every $\epsilon<0$, there exists $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
\left|\sum_{n=n_{0}}^{\infty} a_{n}\left(\tau_{z_{v}}\left(y^{2 n}\right)(t)-\tau_{z_{0}}\left(y^{2 n}\right)(t)\right)\right| \leq 2 \sum_{n=n_{0}}^{\infty}\left|a_{n}\right| c^{2 n}<\epsilon
$$

for $v \in \mathbb{N}-\{0\}$ and $|t| \leq a$.
Moreover it is clear that
$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} \tau_{z_{v}}\left(y^{2 n}\right)(t)$
$=\sum_{n=0}^{n_{0}-1} a_{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k} \frac{\Gamma(n+3 \alpha+\beta) \Gamma(3 \alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(n-k+3 \alpha+\beta) \Gamma(k+3 \alpha+\beta)} z_{v}^{2(n-k) t^{2 k}} \sum_{n=0}^{n_{0}-1} a_{n} \tau_{z_{0}}\left(y^{2 n}\right)(t)$,
as $v \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly in $|t| \leq a$.
Thus we can conclude that $\tau_{z_{v}} f \rightarrow \tau_{z_{0}} f$ as $v \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly in the disc $D(0, b)$ with center in the origin and radius b , and the proof is finished.

Proposition 3.1 (i) allows us to define the Hankel type convolution $T \# f$ of $f \in$ $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})^{\prime}$ and $f \in \mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$ as follows:

$$
(T \# f)(z)=\left\langle T, \tau_{z} f\right\rangle, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} .
$$

Note that Proposition 3.1, (ii) implies that $T \# f$ is a continuous function on $\mathbb{C}$, for every $T \in$ $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})^{\prime}$ and $f \in \mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$. Moreover, as we will prove in the following, $T \# f$ is in $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$, for each $T \in \mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})^{\prime}$ and $f \in \mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$.
Proposition 3.2: Let $T \in \mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})^{\prime}$. Then the mapping $F_{T}$ defined by $F_{T}(f)=T \# f, f \in$ $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$, is a continuous linear mapping from $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$ into itself.
Proof: Let $f \in \mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C}) \quad$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Assume that

$$
f(t)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} t^{2 n}, \quad t \in \mathbb{C}
$$

According to (3.2) and by taking into account that the series converges uniformly in every compact subset of $\mathbb{C}$, we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
(T \# f)(z) & =\left\langle T, \tau_{z} f\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n}\left\langle T(t), \tau_{z}\left(y^{2 n}\right)(t)\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k} \frac{\Gamma(n+3 \alpha+\beta) \Gamma(3 \alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(n-k+3 \alpha+\beta) \Gamma(k+3 \alpha+\beta)} \\
& \times z^{2(n-k)}\left\langle T(t), t^{2 k}\right\rangle, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $T \# f$ is an entire function.
To see that the mapping $F_{T}$ is continuous we use the closed graph theorem. Assume that $\left\{f_{v}\right\}_{v \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence in $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$ such that $f_{v} \rightarrow f$, as $v \rightarrow \infty$, in $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$, and $T \# f_{v} \rightarrow g$, as $v \rightarrow \infty$ in $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$. By Proposition 3.1, (i) for every $z \in \mathbb{C}, \tau_{z} f_{v} \rightarrow \tau_{z} f$, as $v \rightarrow \infty$ in $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$. Hence, since $T \in \mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})^{\prime},\left(T \# f_{v}\right)(z) \rightarrow(T \neq f)(z)$ as $v \rightarrow \infty$, for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Then $g=T \# f$. The closed graph theorem allows now to conclude that $F_{T}$ is a continuous mapping. Thus proof is completed.

We, define the Hankel type convolution $S \neq T$ of $S$ and $T \in \mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})^{\prime}$ as the functional on $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$ given through

$$
\langle S \neq T, f\rangle=\langle S, T \neq f\rangle, f \in \mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})
$$

Note that, according to Proposition 3.2, $S \neq \in \mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})^{\prime}$, for each $S, T \in \mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})^{\prime}$. By proceeding as in [15, proposition 6] we can prove that the mapping defined by $(S, T) \rightarrow S \# T$ is bilinear and continuous from $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})^{\prime} \times \mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})^{\prime}$ into $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})^{\prime}$, when $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})^{\prime}$ has the strong topology.

We now establish the interchange formula involving distributional Hankel type transformation and convolution.
Proposition 3.3 : If $S, T \in \mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})^{\prime}$ then

$$
h_{\alpha, \beta}(S \# T)=h_{\alpha, \beta}(S) h_{\alpha, \beta}(T)
$$

Proof: By [22, (1), Section 2] we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{\alpha, \beta}(S \# T)(z) \\
& =2^{\alpha-\beta} \Gamma(3 \alpha+\beta)\left\langle(S \# T)(t),(z t)^{-(\alpha-\beta)} J_{\alpha-\beta}(z t)\right\rangle \\
& =2^{\alpha-\beta} \Gamma(3 \alpha+\beta)\left\langle S(t),\left\langle T(y), \tau_{t}\left((z)^{-(\alpha-\beta)} J_{\alpha-\beta}(z)\right)(y)\right\rangle\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

$=\left\langle S(t),\left\langle T(y), 2^{\alpha-\beta} \Gamma(3 \alpha+\beta)(z t)^{-(\alpha-\beta)} J_{\alpha-\beta}(z t) 2^{\alpha-\beta} \Gamma(3 \alpha+\beta)(z y)^{-(\alpha-\beta)} J_{\alpha-\beta}(z y)\right\rangle\right\rangle$
$=h_{\alpha, \beta}(S)(z) h_{\alpha, \beta}(T)(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}$.
The following algebraic properties for the Hankel convolution on $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})^{\prime}$ can be proved by using Propositions 2.2 and 2.3.
Proposition 3.4: Let $T, R, S \in \mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})^{\prime}$. Then
(i) $T \# R=R \# T$,
(ii) $T \#(R \# S)=(T \# R) \# S$,
(iii) $T \# \delta=T$, where, as usual, $\delta$ denotes the Dirac functional.

We now characterize the Hankel type convolution operators in $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$ as those linear and continuous mappings from $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$ into itself which commute with Hankel type translations and Bessel type operators. Our result is inspired in [18, Proposition 5.2] to the usual convolution operators on entire functions. Similar properties for Hankel type convolution operators on Zemanian spaces can be found in [30, 7].

Proposition 3.5: Assume that L is a continuous linear mapping from $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$ into itself. The following assertions are equivalent:
(i) L commutes with $\tau_{z}$, that is $L \tau_{z}=\tau_{z} L$, on $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$, for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$.
(ii) L commutes with the Bessel type operator $\Delta_{\alpha, \beta}=z^{4 \beta-2} D z^{4 \alpha} D$, that is $L \Delta_{\alpha, \beta}=\Delta_{\alpha, \beta} L$ on $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$.
(iii) There exists a complex measure $\lambda$ on $\mathbb{C}$ having compact support for which

$$
(L f)(z)=\int_{\mathbb{C}}\left(\tau_{z} f\right)(t) d \lambda(t), f \in \mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})
$$

(Note that the property says that there exists $T \in \mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})^{\prime}$ such that $L f=T \# f, f \in \mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$ )
(iv) There exists an entire function $\Phi$ of exponential type such that $L=\Phi\left(\Delta_{\alpha, \beta}\right)$ on $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$, that is if $\Phi(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}$, then

$$
L f=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} \Delta_{\alpha, \beta}^{n} f, f \in \mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})
$$

where the series converges in $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$.
Proof : (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) . Let $f \in \mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$. Suppose that $f(t)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} t^{2 n}, t \in \mathbb{C}$. If $\Delta_{\alpha, \beta}$ represents the Bessel type operator $t^{4 \beta-2} D t^{4 \alpha} D$,
we can write

$$
\Delta_{\alpha, \beta} f(t)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} 4(n+\alpha-\beta) n t^{2(n-1)}, z \in \mathbb{C}
$$

we are going to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{\tau_{z} f-f}{C_{\alpha, \beta} z^{2}}=\Delta_{\alpha, \beta} f \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{\alpha, \beta}=\frac{1}{4(3 \alpha+\beta)}$ and the convergence is understood in $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$.
A straightforward manipulation, by splitting the interior sum, allows us to write $\frac{\left(\tau_{z} f\right)(t)-f(t)}{C_{\alpha, \beta} z^{2}}$
$=\frac{4(3 \alpha+\beta)}{z^{2}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\binom{n}{k} \frac{\Gamma(n+3 \alpha+\beta) \Gamma(3 \alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(n-k+3 \alpha+\beta) \Gamma(k+3 \alpha+\beta)} z^{2(n-k)} t^{2 k}$
$=4(3 \alpha+\beta) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} n \frac{\Gamma(n+3 \alpha+\beta) \Gamma(3 \alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(n+\alpha-\beta) \Gamma(5 \alpha+3 \beta)} t^{2(n-1)}$
$+\frac{4(3 \alpha+\beta)}{z^{2}} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-2}\binom{n}{k} \frac{\Gamma(n+3 \alpha+\beta) \Gamma(3 \alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(n-k+3 \alpha+\beta) \Gamma(k+3 \alpha+\beta)} z^{2(n-k)} t^{2 k}$
$=\Delta_{\alpha, \beta} f(t)+4(\alpha-\beta) z^{2} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-2}\binom{n}{k} \frac{\Gamma(n+3 \alpha+\beta) \Gamma(3 \alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(n-k+3 \alpha+\beta) \Gamma(k+3 \alpha+\beta)} 2(n-k-2) t^{2 k}$,
for each $t \in \mathbb{C}$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}-\{0\}$.
Hence to see (3.3), we have to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{z \rightarrow 0} z^{2} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-2}\binom{n}{k} \frac{\Gamma(n+3 \alpha+\beta) \Gamma(3 \alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(n-k+3 \alpha+\beta) \Gamma(k+3 \alpha+\beta)} z^{2(n-k-2)} t^{2 k}=0 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly in every compact subset of $\mathbb{C}$.
Let $a>0$. As it was mentioned above, the series
$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|a_{n}\right| \sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k} \frac{\Gamma(n+3 \alpha+\beta) \Gamma(3 \alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(n-k+3 \alpha+\beta) \Gamma(k+3 \alpha+\beta)}|z|^{2(n-k)}|t|^{2 k}$
converges uniformly in $|t| \leq a$, for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Moreover, it has

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left|a_{n}\right| \sum_{k=0}^{n-2}\binom{n}{k} \frac{\Gamma(n+3 \alpha+\beta) \Gamma(3 \alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(n-k+3 \alpha+\beta) \Gamma(k+3 \alpha+\beta)}|z|^{2(n-2-k)}|t|^{2 k} \\
& \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|a_{n}\right| \sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k} \frac{\Gamma(n+3 \alpha+\beta) \Gamma(3 \alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(n-k+3 \alpha+\beta) \Gamma(k+3 \alpha+\beta)} a^{2 k}<\infty,|t| \leq a, \text { and }|z| \leq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence (3.4) holds uniformly in $|t| \leq a$. Thus (3.3) is proved when the convergence is understood in $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$.

Then we can infer that, if (i) holds

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{\alpha, \beta} L f=\lim _{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{\tau_{z} L f-L f}{C_{\alpha, \beta} z^{2}} & =\lim _{z \rightarrow 0} L\left(\frac{\tau_{z} f-f}{C_{\alpha, \beta} z^{2}}\right)=L\left(\lim _{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{\tau_{z} L f-f}{C_{\alpha, \beta} z^{2}}\right) \\
& =L\left(\Delta_{\alpha, \beta} f\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence (i) implies (ii).
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) : Assume that $f \in \mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$ and it is given by

$$
f(t)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} t^{2 n}, t \in \mathbb{C}
$$

Let $z \in \mathbb{C}$. We can write

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\tau_{z} f\right)(t) & =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(3 \alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha-\beta+k+1)} z^{2 k} \sum_{n=k}^{\infty}\binom{n}{k} a_{n} t^{2(n-k)} \frac{\Gamma(n+3 \alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(n-k+3)} \\
& =\Gamma(3 \alpha+\beta) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{2 k}}{2^{2 k} \Gamma(\alpha-\beta+k+1) k!}\left(\Delta_{\alpha, \beta}^{k} f\right)(t), z, t \in \mathbb{C} \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

The last series is uniformly convergent in every compact subset of $\mathbb{C}$.
Then from (ii), it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
L\left(\tau_{z} f\right) & =\Gamma(3 \alpha+\beta) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{2 k}}{2^{2 k} \Gamma(\alpha-\beta+k+1)!} L\left(\Delta_{\alpha, \beta}^{k} f\right) \\
& =\Gamma(3 \alpha+\beta) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{2 k}}{2^{2 k} \Gamma(\alpha-\beta+k+1) k!} \Delta_{\alpha, \beta}^{k} L(f)=\tau_{z}(L f)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, L commutes with Hankel type translations.
(i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) : Assume that (i) holds. We define the functional T on $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$ as follows

$$
\langle T, f\rangle=L(f)(0), f \in \mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})
$$

It is clear that T is in $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})^{\prime}$. Hence there exists a complex number $\lambda$ on $\mathbb{C}$ having compact support [27, p. 231] such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle T, f\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{C}} f(t) d \lambda(t), f \in \mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C}) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then by using (3.6), it follows that

$$
(L f)(z)=\tau_{z}(L f)(0)=L\left(\tau_{z} f\right)
$$

$$
=\int_{\mathbb{C}}\left(\tau_{z}\right)(t) d \lambda(t), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \text { and } f \in \mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})
$$

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) : Assume that

$$
(L f)(z)=\int_{\mathbb{C}}\left(\tau_{z} f\right)(t) d \lambda(t) z \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \text { and } f \in \mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})
$$

for some complex measure $\lambda$ on $\mathbb{C}$ having bounded support
Let $f \in \mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$. According to (3.5), since $\left(\tau_{z} f\right)(t)=\left(\tau_{z} f\right)(z), z, t \in \mathbb{C}$, it has

$$
\begin{align*}
(L f)(z) & =\int_{\mathbb{C}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(3 \alpha+\beta)}{2^{2 k} \Gamma(\alpha-\beta+k+1) k!} t^{2 k}\left(\Delta_{\alpha, \beta}^{k} f\right)(z) d \lambda(t) \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(3 \alpha+\beta)}{2^{2 k} \Gamma(\alpha-\beta+k+1) k!}\left(\Delta_{\alpha, \beta}^{k} f\right)(z) \int_{\mathbb{C}} t^{2 k} d \lambda(t), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \text { and } f \in \mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C}) \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Here we have taken into account that the series is, for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$, uniformly convergent in the support of $\lambda$.

We denote, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\lambda_{k}=\int_{\mathbb{C}} t^{2 k} d \lambda(t)
$$

We choose $m>0$ such that $|t| \leq M$, for every $t$ in the support of $\lambda$. Then, it follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\lambda_{k}\right| \leq \int_{\mathbb{C}}|t|^{2 k} d|\lambda|(t) \leq M^{2 k}|\lambda|(\mathbb{C}), k \in \mathbb{N} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $|\lambda|$ represents the total variation measure of $\lambda$.
The function $\Phi$ is defined by

$$
\Phi(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(3 \alpha+\beta) \lambda_{k}}{2^{2 k} \Gamma(\alpha-\beta+k+1) k!} z^{k}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} .
$$

From (3.8), it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left|\lambda_{k}\right|}{2^{2 k} \Gamma(\alpha-\beta+k+1) k!}|z|^{k} & \leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left|z M^{2}\right|^{k}}{2^{2 k} \Gamma(\alpha-\beta+k+1) k!} \\
& \leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left|z M^{2}\right|^{k}}{k!}=C e^{M^{2}|z|}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\Phi$ is an entire function of expontial type
Moreover, (3.7) can be rewritten as

$$
(L f)(z)=\left(\Phi\left(\Delta_{\alpha, \beta}\right) f\right)(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \text { and } f \in \mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})
$$

Note also that the series in (3.7) converges uniformly in every compact subset of $\mathbb{C}$.
(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i) : Suppose now that, for every $f \in \mathcal{H}_{e}$ (C),

$$
(L f)(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k}\left(\Delta_{\alpha, \beta}^{k} f\right)(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}
$$

for a certain $a_{k} \in \mathbb{C}, k \in \mathbb{N}$, where the series converges in $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$.
Hence, if $f \in \mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$, since $\tau_{z} \Delta_{\alpha, \beta} f=\Delta_{\alpha, \beta} \tau_{z} f, z \in \mathbb{C}$, according to Proposition 3.1, (i), it is concluded that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{z}(L f)(t) & =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k} \tau_{z}\left(\Delta_{\alpha, \beta}^{k} f\right)(t)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k} \Delta_{\alpha, \beta}^{k}\left(\tau_{z} f\right)(t) \\
& =L\left(\tau_{z} f\right)(t), t, z \in \mathbb{C} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus proof is completed.
Remarks 3: Note that (3.5) can be rewritten as follows

$$
\tau_{z} f=\Phi_{z}\left(\Delta_{\alpha, \beta} f\right), \quad f \in \mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C}) \text { and } z \in \mathbb{C},
$$

where $\Phi_{z}$ represents, for each $z \in \mathbb{C}$, the function defined by

$$
\Phi_{z}(t)=\Gamma(3 \alpha+\beta) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{2 k}}{2^{2 k} \Gamma(\alpha-\beta+k+1) k!} t^{k}, \quad t \in \mathbb{C}
$$

Remark 4: The condition (iv) in Proposition 3.5 can be replaced by the following finer property:
(iv) There exists an entire function $\Phi$ such that $L=\Phi\left(\Delta_{\alpha, \beta}\right)$ on $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$ and that there exist $A, B>0$ for which

$$
|\Phi(z)| \leq A i_{\alpha-\beta}\left(B|z|^{\alpha+\beta}\right), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} .
$$

Here $i_{\alpha-\beta}(z)=z^{-(\alpha-\beta)} I_{\alpha-\beta}(z), z \in \mathbb{C}$, where $I_{\alpha-\beta}$ denotes the modified Bessel function of the first kind and order $(\alpha-\beta)$ (See [32, p.77].)

In the following we obtain a Hankel version of [18, Theorem 5]. We obtain a new class of hypercyclic operators in $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$.

Propositions 3.6: Assume that L is a continuous linear mapping from $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$ into itself which commutes with the Hankel type translation $\tau_{z}$, for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Then $L$ has a invariant and hypercyclic manifold that is dense in $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$ and L is a chaotic operator on $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$, provided that L is not a multiple of the identity operator.

Proof: According to Proposition 3.5 there exists an entire function $\Phi$ of exponential type such that $L=\Phi\left(\Delta_{\alpha, \beta}\right)$, that is, if

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi(z) & =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \text { where } a_{n} \in \mathbb{C}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \text { then } \\
L f & =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} \Delta_{\alpha, \beta}^{n} f, \quad f \in \mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})
\end{aligned}
$$

where the series converges in $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C}) \cdot$
For every $a \in \mathbb{C}$, we define the function $j_{a}$ by

$$
j_{a}(z)=(z a)^{-(\alpha-\beta)} J_{\alpha-\beta}(z a), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}
$$

We have that

$$
\Delta_{\alpha, \beta} j_{a}(z)=-a^{2} j_{a}(z), \quad a, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}
$$

Hence, for every $a \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L j_{a}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n}\left(-a^{2}\right)^{n} j_{a}=\Phi\left(-a^{2}\right) j_{a} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

To simplify we define $\Psi(z)=\Phi\left(-z^{2}\right), z \in \mathbb{C}$.
From Proposition 2.2, it now follows that the range of L is dense in $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$, provided that $L \neq 0$. Indeed, suppose that $\Phi$ is not zero identically. Then the set

$$
V=\{z \in \mathbb{C}: \Psi(z) \neq 0\}
$$

is an open and non-empty subset of $\mathbb{C}$. Hence according to Proposition 2.2, the linear space $M_{v}$ generated by $\left\{j_{a}\right\}_{a \in v}$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$.
Since $M_{v}$ is contained in the range of L , it follows that the range of L is a dense subset of $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$.

Assume that L is not a multiple of the identity. Then $\Phi$ is not a constant function. The well known Liouville theorem implies that the sets $W_{1}$ and $W_{2}$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{1}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|\psi(z)|<1\} \text { and } \\
& W_{2}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|\psi(z)|>1\},
\end{aligned}
$$

are non-empty open sets in $\mathbb{C}$. According to (3.9), it is clear that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{n} j_{a}=\Psi(a)^{n} j_{a} \quad, \quad a \in \mathbb{C} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, if $a \in W_{1}$ then, from (3.10) we infer that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} L^{n} j_{a}=0$, uniformly in every compact subset of $\mathbb{C}$. Hence

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} L^{n} f=0, \quad \text { in } \mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})
$$

for every $f \in \mathcal{M}_{W_{1}}$.
We now define the mapping $S$ on $\left\{j_{a}\right\}_{a \in W_{2}}$ by
$S j_{a}=\frac{1}{\Psi(a)} j_{a}, a \in W_{2}$, and $S$ is extended to the linear space $\mathcal{M}_{W_{2}}$ generates by $\left\{j_{a}\right\}_{a \in W_{2}}$ as a linear mapping.

Thus S maps $\mathcal{M}_{W_{2}}$ into itself and

$$
(L S) j_{a}=L\left(\frac{1}{\Psi(a)} j_{a}\right)=j_{a}, \quad a \in W_{2}
$$

Hence, $(L S) f=f, f \in \mathcal{M}_{W_{2}}$. Moreover by proceeding as above, we obtain that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} S^{n} f=0, \text { in } \mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})
$$

for each $f \in \mathcal{M}_{W_{2}}$.
According to [18, Corollary 1.5], it follows that $L$ has hypercyclic vectors. We denote by $g$ a hypercyclic vector of L .

We are going to see that there exist an invariant and hypercyclic manifold with respect to L that is dense in $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$.

Let $p$ be an holomorphic polynomial not identically zero. Then $P(L)$ is a continuous linear mapping from $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$ into itself, and as it is not hard to show, $p(L)=p(\Phi)\left(\Delta_{\alpha, \beta}\right)$. Hence, $p(L)$ commutes with Hankel type translation $\tau_{z}$, for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Morever, since $\Phi$ is not constant in $\mathbb{C}$, the range of $p(L)$ is sense in $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$. We now define the manifold $\mathcal{M}$ through

$$
\mathcal{M}=\{p(L) g: p \text { is a holomorphic polynomial }\}
$$

It is clear that $\mathcal{M}$ is invariant for L .
On the other hand, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every holomorphic polynomial p , it has

$$
L^{n} p(L) g=p(L) L^{n} g
$$

Hence, if p is a holomorphic polynomial, since the set $\left\{L^{n} g: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ and the range of $p(L)$ are dense in $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$.

Thus we prove that $\mathcal{M}$ is a dense manifold of $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$ that is constituted by hypercyclic vectors.

We now prove that $L$ is chaotic in $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$.
Since $\Psi$ is entire and non constant, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\Psi\left(G_{n}\right) \cap \partial \mathcal{D}(0,1)$ contains a non-empty and open subset of the boundary $\partial \mathcal{D}(0,1)$ of the unit disc $D(0,1)$. Here, for every
$m \in \mathbb{N}, G_{m}$ represents the closure of the disc $D(0, m)$ with center in the origin and radius $m$, The set $E$ defined by

$$
E=\left\{z \in G_{n}: \Psi(z)^{l}=1, \text { for some } l \in \mathbb{N}\right\}
$$

is infinity. Hence E has an adherence point in $G_{n}$. Then by Proposition 2.2, we can prove that the linear space
$\mathcal{M}_{E}=\operatorname{span}\left\{j_{a}: a \in E\right\}$ generates by $\left\{j_{a}\right\}_{a \in E}$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$. Here, as above,

$$
j_{a}(z)=(a z)^{-(\alpha-\beta)} J_{\alpha-\beta}(a z), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \text { and } a \in E
$$

Assume that $a \in E$. There exists $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\Psi(a)^{l}=1$.
Hence

$$
L^{l}\left(j_{a}\right)=\Psi(a)^{l} j_{a}=j_{a} .
$$

Thus we see that $j_{a}$ is a periodic point of L . Then $\mathcal{M}_{E}$ is contained by periodic points of L and L is choatic on $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$.
This completes the proof.
Remark 5: A continuous linear operator $L$ on a topological linear space $X$ is called cyclic if there exists a vector $x \in X$ for which the span of the orbit $\left\{L^{n} x\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is dense in $X$. In this case $x$ is called a cyclic vector of L . It is obvious that if L is a hypercyclic operator on $x$ then $L$ is also a cyclic operator on X. Hence according to Proposition 3.6 if L is a continuous linear mapping from $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$ into itself that commutes with Hankel type translations $\tau_{z}, z \in \mathbb{C}$, then $L$ is a cyclic operator on $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$ provided that $L$ is not a multiple of the identity operator. Moreover, by proceeding as in [10, p.86], where the Bessel functions $j_{a}, a \in \mathbb{C}$, replace the exponential functions, we can see that there exists a dense linear manifold $\mathcal{M}$ of $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$ such that each nonzero element of $\mathcal{M}$ is cyclic for every continuous linear mapping from $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$ into itself commuting with Hankel type translations $\tau_{z}, z \in \mathbb{C}$, that is not a multiple of the identity operator.

We now study hypercyclicity and the chaoticity of the Hankel type convolution operators on $\mathcal{E}_{*}$.
Proposition 3.7: Suppose that $T \in \mathcal{E}_{*}^{\prime}$, then the Hankel convolution operator $F_{T}$ defined on $\mathcal{E}_{*}$ by $F_{T}(\Phi)=T \# \phi, \phi \in \mathcal{E}_{*}$ is hypercyclic and chaotic, provided that T is not a multiple of the Dirac $\delta$-functional.

Proof: Since the space $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$ is continuously contained in $\mathcal{E}(w)$ the restriction of T to $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$ is in $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})^{\prime}$. Also the restriction of the mapping $F_{T}$ to $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$ defines a continuous linear mapping from $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$ into itself.

Suppose that $F_{T}(f)=\lambda f, f \in \mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$, for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.
Then for every $f \in \mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$

$$
F_{T}(f)(0)=(T \# f)(0)=\left\langle T, \tau_{0} f\right\rangle=\langle T, f\rangle=\lambda f(0)
$$

Hence $T=\lambda \delta$.
Moreover, from (3.5), it follows that, for every $f \in \mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{T}(f)(z)=\left\langle T, \tau_{z} f\right\rangle & =\Gamma(3 \alpha+\beta) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{2 k} \Gamma(\alpha-\beta+k+1) k!}\left(\Delta_{\alpha, \beta}^{k} f\right)(z) \\
& \times\left\langle T(t), t^{2 k}\right\rangle, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus Proposition 3.5 implies that $F_{T}$ commutes with the Hankel type translation $\tau_{z}$, for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$.
Hence, if T is not a multiple of the Dirac $\delta$ - functional, from Proposition 3.6 it deduces that the mapping $F_{T}$ is hypercyclic in $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$.

We will prove that $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$ is a dense subspace of $\mathcal{E}_{*}$. Then, according to [9, Lemma 1] and Proposition 3.6, we obtain that $F_{T}$ is hypercyclic and chaotic in $\mathcal{E}_{*}$.

The density property of $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$ in $\mathcal{E}_{*}$ follows from Hahn-Banach theorem. Indeed, let $T \in \mathcal{E}_{*}$ such that $\langle T, f\rangle=0, f \in \mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$.
In particular, for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$
\left\langle T(t), \quad(z t)^{-(\alpha-\beta)} J_{\alpha-\beta}(z t)\right\rangle=0 .
$$

In other words, $h_{\alpha, \beta}(T)(z)=0, z \in \mathbb{C}$. Then, according to
[5, Proposition 4.6], we obtain that

$$
\langle T, \varphi\rangle=\left\langle h_{\alpha, \beta}(T), \quad h_{\alpha, \beta}(\phi)\right\rangle=0, \quad \phi \in \mathfrak{D}_{*}
$$

Hence, since $\mathcal{D}_{*}$ is a dense subspace of $\mathcal{E}_{*}$, it follows that $T=0$ on $\mathcal{E}_{*}$. Then the Hanh-Banach theorem implies that $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$ is dense in $\mathcal{E}_{*}$. Thus proof is completed.

As a consequence of Propositions 3.6 and 3.7, we obtain Hankel version of celebrated results of Birkhoff [8], concerning the usual translation operators, and of MacLane [24], about the differentiation operators.

Corollary 3.8 : (i) For every $z \in \mathbb{C}-\{0\}$, the Hankel type translation operator $\tau_{z}$ is hypercyclic and choatic on $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$ and on $\mathcal{E}_{*}$.
(ii) The operator $\Delta_{\alpha, \beta}$ is hypercyclic and chaotic on $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$ and on $\mathcal{E}_{*}$.

## 4. Hankel type convolution operators on the spaces $\mathcal{D}_{*}$ and its dual:

In this section we study the Hankel convolution operators on the spaces $\mathcal{D}_{*}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{*}^{\prime}$, the dual space of $\mathcal{D}_{*}$.

If $T \in \mathcal{E}_{*}$, by using [5, Proposition 4.7 (3.1) and (3.2)], we can see that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tau_{x}(T \# \phi)=T \#\left(\tau_{x} \phi\right), \Delta_{\alpha, \beta}(T \# \phi)=T \#\left(\Delta_{\alpha, \beta} \phi\right) . \\
\psi \#(T \# \phi)=T \#(\psi \# \phi), \text { for every } \psi, \phi \in \mathcal{D}_{*} \text { and } x \in(0, \infty) .
\end{gathered}
$$

In the following, we characterize the Hankel type convolution operators on $\mathcal{D}_{*}$ as those linear and continuous mappings on $\mathcal{D}_{*}$ into itself that commutes with Hankel type translations, with Bessel type operators or with Hankel type convolutions.

In Propositions 3.5, we established the corresponding result on the space $\mathcal{H}_{e}(\mathbb{C})$. Analogous properties on Zemanian spaces were shown in [3,7,30].
Proposition 4.1: Let L be a continuous linear mapping from $\mathcal{D}_{*}$ into itself. The following assertions are equivalent.
(i) L commutes with Hankel type translations, that is for every $x \in(0, \infty), L \tau_{x}=\tau_{x} L$ on $\mathcal{D}_{*}$.
(ii) There exists a (unique) $T \in \mathcal{E}_{*}^{\prime}$ such that $L_{\phi}=T \# \phi, \phi \in \mathcal{D}_{*}$.
(iii) L commutes with Hankel type convolution in the following sense, for each $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{D}_{*}$, $L(\phi \# \psi)=\phi \# L(\psi)$.
(iv) L commutes with Hankel type convolution in the following sense, for every $\phi \in \mathcal{D}_{*}$ and $T \in \mathcal{E}_{*}^{\prime}, L(T \# \phi)=T \# L(\phi)$.
Moreover (i) or equivalently, (ii), (iii) and (iv) implies that the following holds
(v). L commutes with the Bessel type operator $\Delta_{\alpha, \beta}$, that is $L \Delta_{\alpha, \beta}=\Delta_{\alpha, \beta} L$, on $\mathcal{D}_{*}$.

Proof: (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) . We can proceed as in the proof of [30, Theorem.....]
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). It is sufficient to take into account [5, Proposition 4.1].
(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv). Let $T \in \mathcal{E}_{*}^{\prime}$. We choose a function $\psi \in \mathcal{D}_{1}$ such that

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} \psi(x) x^{4 \alpha} d x=2^{\alpha-\beta} \Gamma(3 \alpha+\beta) .
$$

For every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we define

$$
\begin{gathered}
\psi_{m}(x)=m^{6 \alpha+2 \beta} \psi(m x), x \in(0, \infty), \text { and } \\
T_{m}=T \neq \psi_{m}
\end{gathered}
$$

by invoking [5, Proposition 3.5 and 4.1 ] and by taking into account that T defines a continuous convolution operator from $\mathcal{D}_{*}$ into itself we conclude that, for every $\phi \in \mathcal{D}_{*}$,

$$
T_{m} \# \phi \rightarrow T \# \phi, \quad \text { as } m \rightarrow \infty,
$$

in the sense of convergence in $\mathcal{D}_{*}$.
By (iii), since $T_{m} \in \mathcal{D}_{*}, m \in \mathbb{N}$ [5, Propositions 4.8], we can write

$$
T \#(L \phi)=\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} T_{m} \# L(\phi)=\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} L\left(T_{m} \# \phi\right)=L(T \# \phi)
$$

Thus (iv) is proved.
(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Let $x \in(0, \infty)$. As usual, we define the Hankel type translation operator $\tau_{x}$ on $\mathcal{D}_{*}^{\prime}$ by transposition, that is if $T \in \mathcal{D}_{*}^{\prime}$ the functional $\tau_{x} T$ is defined by

$$
\left\langle\tau_{x} T, \phi\right\rangle=\left\langle T, \tau_{x} \phi\right\rangle, \phi \in \mathcal{D}_{*} .
$$

Since $\tau_{x}$ is continuous linear mapping from $\mathcal{D}_{*}$ into itself [5, Corollary 3.3], $\tau_{x} T \in \mathcal{D}_{*}^{\prime}$ for each $\in \mathcal{D}_{*}^{\prime}$.

By denoting by $\delta$ the Dirac functional, we have that

$$
\tau_{x} \phi=\left(\tau_{x} \delta\right) \# \phi \in \mathcal{D}_{*}
$$

Indeed, if $\phi \in \mathcal{D}_{*}$, it follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\tau_{x} \delta\right) \# \phi(y) & =\left\langle\tau_{x} \delta, \quad \tau_{y} \phi\right\rangle=\left\langle\delta, \quad \tau_{x} \tau_{y} \phi\right\rangle=\left\langle\delta, \tau_{y}\left(\tau_{x} \phi\right)\right\rangle \\
& =\left(\tau_{x} \phi\right)(y), y \in(0, \infty)
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover it is not hard to see, according to [5, Proposition 4.4], that $\tau_{x} \delta \in \mathcal{E}_{*}^{\prime}$. Hence, from (iv) it follows that, for every $\phi \in \mathcal{D}_{*}$,

$$
\tau_{x}(L \phi)=\tau_{x} \delta \# L \phi=L\left(\tau_{x} \delta \# \phi\right)=L\left(\tau_{x} \phi\right)
$$

Hence L commutes with the Hankel type translation operator $\tau_{x}$.
Thus, we have proved that the properties (i) - (iv) are equivalent. To complete the proof of this proposition we are going to prove that (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (v). Assume that there exists $T \in \mathcal{E}_{*}^{\prime}$ such that

$$
L \phi=T \# \phi, \phi \in \mathcal{D}_{*} .
$$

According to [1, Lemma 8, (b), (6)], we can write, for every $\in \mathcal{D}_{*}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\Delta_{\alpha, \beta} L(\phi)\right)(x) & =-x^{2} h_{\alpha, \beta}(T)(x) h_{\alpha, \beta}(\phi)(x) \\
& =h_{\alpha, \beta}\left(L\left(\Delta_{\alpha, \beta} \phi\right)\right)(x), x \in(0, \infty)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, from the uniqueness property of Hankel type transformation on $\mathcal{D}_{*}$, it follows that

$$
\Delta_{\alpha, \beta} L \phi=L \Delta_{\alpha, \beta} \phi \quad, \quad \phi \in \mathcal{D}_{*} .
$$

Thus we establish that L commutes with the Bessel type operator $\Delta_{\alpha, \beta}$. This completes the proof.
Remark 6: We do not know if condition (v) implies property (i) (and then (ii), (iii) and (iv) in Proposition 4.1. The procedure developed in [3] does not work now because there is not any function $\phi \# 0$ in $\mathcal{D}_{*}$ having compactly supported $h_{\alpha, \beta}$ transform.

Since $\mathcal{E}_{*}^{\prime}$ is the space of convolution operators in $\mathcal{D}_{*}$, the elements of $\mathcal{E}_{*}^{\prime}$ define Hankel type convolution operators on $\mathcal{D}_{*}^{\prime}$. If $S \in \mathcal{D}_{*}^{\prime}$ and $\in \mathcal{E}_{*}^{\prime}$, the Hankel type convolution $S \# T$ of S and T is the functional in $\mathcal{D}_{*}^{\prime}$ defined by

$$
\langle S \# T, \phi\rangle=\langle S, T \# \phi\rangle, \phi \in \mathcal{D}_{*} .
$$

Moreover, we can establish that the Hankel type convolution operator associated to $T \in \mathcal{E}_{*}^{\prime}$ is continuous on $\mathcal{D}_{*}^{\prime}$.
Proposition 4.2: Let $\in \mathcal{E}_{*}^{\prime}$. The mapping $F_{T}$ defined by

$$
F_{T}: \mathcal{D}_{*}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{*}^{\prime}, S \rightarrow S \# T
$$

is continuous from $\mathcal{D}_{*}^{\prime}$ into itself, when on $\mathcal{D}_{*}^{\prime}$ we consider the weak $*$ or the strong topology.
Proof: It is sufficient to take into account that the mapping $\phi \rightarrow T \# \phi$ is continuous from $\mathcal{D}_{*}$ into itself. Thus proof is completed.

Finally it is shown that the Hankel type convolution operator associated to every element of $\mathcal{E}_{*}^{\prime}$ is hypercyclic and chaotic.
Proposition 4.3: Let $\in \mathcal{E}_{*}^{\prime}$. Assume that T is not a multiple of the Dirac $\delta$ - functional. Then the Hankel type convolution operator $F_{T}$ defined as in Proposition 4.2 is hypercyclic and chaotic on $\mathcal{D}_{*}^{\prime}$ is equipped with the strong topology.
Proof: According to Proposition 3.7, the functional $T \in \mathcal{E}_{*}^{\prime}$ defines a Hankel type convolution operator on $\mathcal{E}_{*}$ that is hypercyclic and chaotic. Since $\mathcal{E}_{*}$ is a dense subspace of $\mathcal{D}_{*}^{\prime}$ when $\mathcal{D}_{*}^{\prime}$ is endowed with the strong topology, by involving [9, Lemma 1], we conclude that $F_{T}$ is hypercyclic and chaotic on $\mathcal{D}_{*}^{\prime}$, when on $\mathcal{D}_{*}^{\prime}$ we consider the strong topology. Thus proof is completed.

## References:

1. G. Altenburg, Bessel transformation en in Raumen Von Grund funktionen uber dem Interval $\Omega=(0, \infty)$ un derem Dualraumen, Math. Nachr. 108 (1982), 197-218.
2. J. Banks, J. Brooks, G. Cairns, G. Davis, P. Stacy, on Devaney's definition of chaos, Amer. Math. Monthly 99 (1992), 332-334.
3. J.J. Betancor, A new characterization of the bounded operators commuting with Hankel translation, Arch. Math. 69 (1997), 403-408.
4. J.J. Betancor, A- Bonilla, on the University property of certain integral operators, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 250 (2000), 162-180.
5. J.J. Betancor, I. Marrero, The Hankel convolution and the Zemanian spaces $B_{\mu}$ and $B_{\mu}^{\prime}$ Math. Nachr. 160(1993), 277-298.
6. J.J. Betancor, I- Marrero, structure and convergence in certain spaces of distributions and the generalized Hankel convolution Math. Japan 38 (1993), 1141-1155.
7. J.J. Betancor, I. Marrero, some properties of Hankel convolution operators, Cand. Math. Bull. 36 (1993), 398-406.
8. G.D. Birkhoff, Demostration d'un theorem elementaire sur les functions entries, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris 189 (1929), 473-475.
9. J. Bonet, Hypercyclic and chaotic convolution operators, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 62 (2000), 253-262.
10. P.S. Bourdon, J. H. Shapiro, Spectral Synthesis and common cyclic vectors, Michigan Math. J. 37 (1990), 71-90.
11. F.M. Cholewinski, A Hankel convolution complex inversion theory, mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 58 (1965).
12. F.M. Cholewinski, D.T. Haimo, The Weierstrats- Hankel convolution J. Anal. Math 17 (1966), 1-58.
13. F.M. Cholewinski, D.T. Haimo, A.E. Nussbaum, A necessary and sufficient condition for the representation of a function as Hankel-Stieltjes transform, Studia Math. 36 (1970), 269-274.
14. R.L. Devaney, An Introduction to chaotic Dynamical systems, Addison-Wesley, 1989.
15. L. Ehrenprels, Mean periodic functions, I. varieties whose annihilator ideals are principal, Amer. J. Math. 77 (1955), 293-328.
16. S.J.L. Van Eijndhoven, J. de Graaf, some results on Hankel invariant distribution spaces, proc. Kon. Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. A 86 (1983), 77-87.
17. S.J.L. Van Eijndhoven, M.J. Kerkhof, The Hankel transformation and spaces of type W, Rep. Appl. Numer. Anal. 10 (1988).
18. G. Godefroy, J.H. Shapiro, operators with dense, invariant, cyclic vector manifolds, J. Funct. Anal. 98 (1991), 229-269.
19. K.G. Grosse-Erdmann, Universal families and hypercyclic operators, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 36 (1999), 345-381.
20. D. T. Haimo, Integral equations associated with Hankel convolutions Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 116 (1965), 330-375.
21. C.S. Herz, On the mean inversion of Fourier and Hankel transforms, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 40 (1954), 996-999.
22. I.I. Hirschman Jr. Variation diminishing Hankel transforms J. Anal. Math. 8 (1960-1961), 307-336.
23. I. Marrero, J.J. Betancor, Hankel convolution of generalized functions, Rend. Mat. 15 (1995), 35) - 380.
24. G.R. MacLane, sequences of derivatives and normal families J. Anal. Math. 2 (1952), 7287.
25. I.N. Sneddon, The use of Integral Transforms, Tata McGraw-Hill, New Delhi, 1974.
26. J.de Sousa-Pinto, A generalized Hankel convolution, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 16 (1985), 1335-1346.
27. F. Treves, Linear Partial Differential Equations with constants coefficients, in Math. Appl. Vol.6, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1966.
28. F. Treves, Topological vector spaces, Distributions and Kernels, Academic press, New York, 1967.
29. K. Trimeche, Transformation integrale, de Weyl et theoreme de Paley-Wiener associes $a^{\prime}$ un operateur differential Singulier Sur (0, $\infty$ ), J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 60 (1981), 51-98.
30. B.B.Waphare, on Hankel type convolution operators, (communicated).
31. B.B.Waphare, S.B. Gunjal, Hankel type transformation and convolution on spaces of distributions with exponential growth International J. mathematical Archive- 2(1), Jan2011, 130-144.
32. G.N. Watson, A Treatise on the Theory of Bessel Functions, Cambridge Univ. Press. Cambridge 1959.
33. A.H. Zemanian, A distributional Hankel transformation, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 14(1966), 561-576.
34. A.H. Zemanian, The Hankel transformation of certain distribution of rapid growth, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 14 (1966), 678-690.
35. A.H. Zemanian Generalized Integral Transformations, Inter-Science, New York, 1968.
