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## ABSTRACT:

In this paper, we concentrate on the study of heat transfer by free Convection in a saturated porous medium including radiation confined in a vertical conical annular porous medium
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Study of buoyancy induced convection flow and heat transfer in fluid saturated porous medium has recently attracted considerable interest because of a number of important energy related engineering and geophysical applications such as thermal insulation of buildings, geothermal engineering enhanced recovery of petroleum resources, filtration processes, ground water pollution and sensible heat storage beds.

Free convection about a vertical flat plate embedded in a porous medium at high Rayleigh numbers was analyzed by Cheng and Minkowycz (1), Na and Pop (2) studied free convection flow past a vertical flat plate maintained at a non-uniform surface temperature embedded in a saturated porous medium and presented numerical results by employing a two-port finite difference method. Gorla and Zinalabedinin (3) studied the free convection from a vertical plate embedded in saturated porous medium.

Heat transfer by mixed convention in laminar boundary layer flow has been analyzed extensively for flat plate geometry in saturated porous media in vertical, horizontal and inclined orientations. Typical studies can be found, for example in (11-14).
In this chapter, we concentrate on the study of heat transfer by free convection in a saturated porous medium including radiation confined in a vertical conical annular porous medium. In this study, Finite Element method (FEM) has been used to solve the governing partial differential equations. Results are presented interms of average Nusselt number ( $\overline{\mathrm{N} u}$ ), streamlines and Isothermal lines for Various values of Rayleigh number ( $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{a}}$ ), Cone angle $\left(\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{A}}\right)$, Radius ratio $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)$ and Radiation parameter $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{d}}\right)$.

## 2. MATHEMATICAL FORMULATIONS

A vertical annular cone of inner radius $r_{1}$ and outer radius $r_{0}$ as depicted by schematic diagram as in figure is considered to investigate the heat transfer behaviour. The co-ordinate system in chosen such that the $\gamma$-axis points towards the width z axis towards the height of the come respectively. Because of the annular nature, two important parameters emerge which are Cone angle $\left(\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{A}}\right)$ and Radius ratio $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)$ of the annular. They are defined as

$$
c_{A}=\frac{H_{t}}{r_{0}-r_{i}}
$$

$$
R_{r}=\frac{r_{0}-r_{i}}{r_{i}}
$$

where $H_{t}$ is the height of the cone.
The inner surface of the cone is maintained at isothermal temperature $T_{n}$ and outer surface is at ambient temperature $\mathrm{T}_{\infty}$. It may be noted that, due to axisymmetry, a section of the annulus is sufficient for analysis purpose.

We assume that the flow inside the porous medium is assumed to obey Darcy law and there is no phase change of fluid. The properties of the fluid and porous medium are homogeneous, isotropic and constant except for variation of fluid density with temperature. The fluid and porous medium are in thermal equilibrium.

## The continuity equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial(r u)}{\partial r}+\frac{\partial p}{\partial r}=0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The velocity in r and z directions can be described by Darcy law as velocity in horizontal direction

$$
u=\frac{-k}{\mu} \frac{\partial p}{\partial r}=0
$$

Velocity in vertical direction

$$
w=\frac{-k}{\mu}\left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial z}+\rho g\right)
$$

The permeability k of porous medium can be expressed as Bejan [23]

$$
k=\frac{D_{p}^{2} \phi^{3}}{180(1-\phi)^{2}}
$$

The variation of density with respect to temperature can be described by Boussinesq approximation as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=\rho_{\alpha}\left[1-\beta_{T}\left(T-T_{\infty}\right)\right] \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Momentum equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial w}{\partial r}-\frac{\partial u}{\partial z}=\frac{g k \beta}{v} \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

## The energy equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \frac{\partial T}{\partial r}+w \frac{\partial T}{\partial r}=\alpha\left[\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r \frac{\partial T}{\partial r}\right)+\frac{\partial^{2} T}{\partial z^{2}}\right]-\frac{1}{\rho C_{P}}-\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r q_{r}\right) \tag{.2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last term in the R.H.S of the equation (2.2.4) represents the radiation effect.
The continuity equation (2.2.1) can be satisfied by introducing the stream function $\psi$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\frac{-1}{r} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z}, \quad w=\frac{-1}{r} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Rosseland approximation for radiation is [23]

$$
q_{r}=\frac{4 n^{2} \sigma}{3 \beta_{R}} \frac{\partial T^{4}}{\partial r}
$$

The corresponding dimensional boundary conditions we
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { at } & \mathrm{r}=\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{i}}, & \mathrm{T}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{w}},\end{array} \begin{aligned} & \psi=0 \\ & \text { at } \\ & \mathrm{r}=\mathrm{r}_{0},\end{aligned} \quad \mathrm{~T}=\mathrm{T}_{\infty}, \quad \begin{aligned} & \psi=0 \\ & \end{aligned}$

The new parameters arising due to cylindrical co-ordinates system are
Non-dimensional Radius, $\bar{r}=\frac{r}{L}, \quad$ Non-dimensional height $\bar{z}=\frac{z}{L}$
Non-dimensional stream faction $\bar{\psi}=\frac{\psi}{\alpha L}$,Non-dimensional temperature $\bar{T}=\frac{\left(T-T_{\infty}\right)}{\left(T_{w}-T_{\infty}\right)}$
Rayleigh number $R_{a}=\frac{g \beta_{T} \Delta T K L}{v \alpha}$, Radiation parameter $R_{d}=\frac{4 \sigma n^{2} T_{c}^{3}}{\beta_{R} K_{S}}$
The non-dimensional equations for the heat transfer in vertical cone are The Momentum equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} \bar{\psi}}{\partial \bar{z}^{2}}+\bar{r}\left(\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \bar{\psi}}{\partial \bar{r}}\right)=\bar{r} R_{a} \frac{\partial \bar{T}}{\partial \bar{r}} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Energy equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{r}\left[\frac{\partial \bar{\psi}}{\partial \bar{r}} \frac{\partial \bar{T}}{\partial \bar{z}}-\frac{\partial \bar{\psi}}{\partial \bar{z}} \frac{\partial \bar{T}}{\partial \bar{r}}\right]=\left[\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{r}}\left(\left(1+\frac{4 R_{d}}{2}\right) \bar{r} \frac{\partial \bar{T}}{\partial \bar{r}}\right)+\frac{\partial^{2} \bar{T}}{\partial \bar{z}^{2}}\right] \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The corresponding non-dimensional boundary conditions are

$$
\left.\begin{array}{lll}
\text { at } & \bar{r}=\bar{r}_{i}, & \bar{T}=1,  \tag{2.9}\\
\text { at } & \bar{r}=\bar{r}_{0}, & \bar{\psi}=0 \\
& \bar{T}=0, & \bar{\psi}=0
\end{array}\right\}
$$

## 3 SOLUTION OF GOVERNING EQUATIONS:-

Applying Garerkin method to momentum equation (2.2.9) yields:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{R^{e}\right\}=-\int_{A} N^{T}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \bar{\psi}}{\partial Z^{-2}}+\bar{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{r}}\left(\frac{1}{\bar{r}} \frac{\partial \bar{\psi}}{\partial \bar{r}}\right)-\bar{r} R a \frac{\partial \bar{T}}{\partial \bar{r}}\right) d V  \tag{3.1}\\
& \left\{R^{e}\right\}=-\int_{A} N^{T}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \bar{\psi}}{\partial Z^{-2}}+\bar{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{r}}\left(\frac{1}{\bar{r}} \frac{\partial \bar{\psi}}{\partial \bar{r}}\right)-\bar{r} R a \frac{\partial \bar{T}}{\partial \bar{r}}\right) 2 \pi \bar{r} d A \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{e}}$ is the residue. Considering individual terms of equation (2.3.2)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{r}}\left(\left[N^{T}\right] \frac{\partial \bar{\psi}}{\partial \bar{r}}\right)=\left(\left[N^{T}\right] \frac{\partial^{2} \bar{\psi}}{\partial r^{-2}}\right)+\frac{\partial[N]^{T}}{\partial \bar{r}} \frac{\partial \bar{\psi}}{\partial \bar{r}} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{A} N^{T} \frac{\partial^{2} \bar{\psi}}{\partial r^{-2}} d A=\int_{A} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{r}}\left(\left[N^{T}\right] \frac{\partial \bar{\psi}}{\partial \bar{r}}\right) 2 \pi \bar{r} d A-\int_{A} \frac{\partial[N]^{T}}{\partial \bar{r}} \frac{\partial \bar{\psi}}{\partial \bar{r}} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first term on RHS of equation (3.4) can be transformed into surface by the application of Greens theorem and leads to inter-element requirement at boundaries of an element. The boundary conditions are incorporated in the force vector.

Let us consider that the variable to be determined in the triangular area as " T ". The polynomial function for " T " can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}=\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2} \mathrm{r}+\alpha_{3} \mathrm{Z} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The variable T has the value $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{j}}, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{k}}$ at the nodal position $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}$ and k of the element. The $r$ and $Z$ coordinates at these points are $r_{i}, r_{j}, r_{k}$ and $Z_{i}, Z_{j}, Z_{k}$ respectively.

Since $T=N_{i} T_{i}+N_{j} T_{j}+N_{k} T_{k}$
where $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{j}}, \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{k}}$ are shape functions given by
$N_{m}=\frac{a_{m}+b_{m} r+c_{m} Z}{2 A}$
Making use of (3.7) gives

$$
\int_{A} N^{T} \frac{\partial^{2} \bar{T}}{\partial Z^{-2}} 2 \pi \bar{r} d A=-\int_{A} \frac{\partial N^{T}}{\partial \bar{r}} \frac{\partial N}{\partial \bar{r}}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\bar{\psi}_{1}  \tag{3.8}\\
\bar{\psi}_{2} \\
\bar{\psi}_{3}
\end{array}\right\} d A
$$

Substitution of (3.7) into (3.8) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{1}{(2 A)^{2}} \int\left[\begin{array}{l}
b_{1} \\
b_{2} \\
b_{3}
\end{array}\right]\left[b_{1} b_{2} b_{3}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\overline{\psi_{1}} \\
\overline{\psi_{2}} \\
\overline{\psi_{3}}
\end{array}\right\} 2 \pi \bar{r} d A \\
& =\frac{2 \pi \bar{R}}{4 A}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
b_{1}^{2} & b_{1} b_{2} & b_{1} b_{3} \\
b_{1} b_{2} & b_{2}^{2} & b_{2} b_{3} \\
b_{1} b_{3} & b_{2} b_{3} & b_{3}^{2}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\bar{\psi}_{1} \\
\bar{\psi}_{2} \\
\bar{\psi}_{3}
\end{array}\right\} \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly $\int_{A} N^{T} \frac{\partial^{2} \bar{\psi}}{\partial Z^{-2}} 2 \pi \bar{r} d A=\frac{-2 \pi \bar{R}}{4 A}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}c_{1}^{2} & c_{1} c_{2} & c_{1} c_{3} \\ c_{1} c_{2} & c_{2}^{2} & c_{2} c_{3} \\ c_{1} c_{3} & c_{2} c_{3} & c_{3}^{2}\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}\bar{\psi}_{1} \\ \overline{\psi_{2}} \\ \overline{\psi_{3}}\end{array}\right\}$
The third term of equation (3.2)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{A} N^{T} \bar{r} R a \frac{\partial \bar{T}}{\partial \bar{r}} 2 \pi \bar{r} d A=R a \int_{A} N^{T} \bar{r} \frac{\partial \bar{T}}{\partial \bar{r}} 2 \pi \bar{r} d A \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\mathrm{M}_{1}=\mathrm{N}_{1}, \mathrm{M}_{2}=\mathrm{N}_{2}, \mathrm{M}_{3}=\mathrm{N}_{3}$
Where $M_{1}, M_{2}$ and $M_{3}$ are the area ratios of the $\Delta^{\text {le }}$ and $N_{1}, N_{2}$ and $N_{3}$ are the shape functions.
Replacing the shape function in the above equation (3.11) gives

$$
\int_{A} N^{T} \bar{r} R a \frac{\partial \bar{T}}{\partial \bar{r}} 2 \pi \bar{r} d A=\bar{r} R a \int_{A}\left[\begin{array}{l}
M_{1}  \tag{3.12}\\
M_{2} \\
M_{3}
\end{array}\right] \frac{\partial(N)}{\partial \bar{r}}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\bar{T}_{1} \\
\bar{T}_{2} \\
\bar{T}_{3}
\end{array}\right] 2 \pi \bar{r} d A
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =R a \frac{A}{3}\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
1 \\
1
\end{array}\right] \frac{2 \pi R^{-2}}{2 A}\left[b_{1}+b_{2}+b_{3}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\bar{T}_{1} \\
\bar{T}_{2} \\
\bar{T}_{3}
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\frac{2 \pi R^{-2} R a}{2 A}\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
b_{1} \bar{T}_{1}+b_{2} \bar{T}_{2}+ & b_{3} \bar{T}_{3} \\
b_{1} \bar{T}_{1}+ & b_{2} \bar{T}_{2}+ & b_{3} \bar{T}_{3} \\
b_{1} \bar{T}_{1}+ & b_{2} \bar{T}_{2}+ & b_{3} \bar{T}_{3}
\end{array}\right\} \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Now Momentum equation leads to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{2 \pi \bar{R}}{4 A}\left\{\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
b^{2} & b_{1} b_{2} & b_{1} b_{3} \\
b_{1} b_{2} & b_{2}^{2} & b_{2} b_{3} \\
b_{1} b_{3} & b_{2} b_{3} & b_{3}^{2}
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
c_{1}^{2} & c_{1} c_{2} & c_{1} c_{3} \\
c_{1} c_{2} & c_{2}^{2} & c_{2} c_{3} \\
c_{1} c_{3} & c_{2} c_{3} & c_{3}^{2}
\end{array}\right]\right\}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\overline{\psi_{1}} \\
\overline{\psi_{2}} \\
\overline{\psi_{3}}
\end{array}\right\}  \tag{3.14}\\
& +\frac{2 \pi R^{-2} R a}{6}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
b_{1} \bar{T}_{1}+b_{2} \bar{T}_{2}+b_{3} \bar{T}_{3} \\
b_{1} \bar{T}_{1}+b_{2} \bar{T}_{2}+b_{3} \bar{T}_{3} \\
b_{1} \bar{T}_{1}+b_{2} \bar{T}_{2}+b_{3} \bar{T}_{3}
\end{array}\right\}=0
\end{align*}
$$

Which is in the form of stiffness matrix.

$$
\left[\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}\right]\{\bar{\psi}\}=\{\mathrm{f}\}
$$

Similarly application of Galerkin method to energy equation (3.14) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{R^{e}\right\}=-\int_{A} N^{T}\left[\frac{1}{\bar{r}}\left(\frac{\partial \bar{\psi}}{\partial \bar{r}} \frac{\partial \bar{T}}{\partial \bar{z}}-\frac{\partial \bar{\psi}}{\partial \bar{z}} \frac{\partial \bar{T}}{\partial \bar{r}}\right)\right]-\left[\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{r}}\left(\left(1+\frac{4 R_{d}}{3}\right) \bar{r} \frac{\partial \bar{T}}{\partial \bar{r}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \bar{T}}{\partial \bar{z}^{2}}\right)\right] 2 \pi \bar{r} d A \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Considering the terms individually of the above equation (3.15)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{A}[N]^{T} \frac{\partial \bar{\psi}}{\partial Z} \frac{\partial \bar{T}}{\partial \bar{r}} 2 \pi d A=\int_{A}\left[\begin{array}{l}
M_{1} \\
M_{2} \\
M_{3}
\end{array}\right] \frac{d[N]}{d \bar{Z}}\{\bar{\psi}\} \frac{d[N]}{d \bar{r}}\{\bar{T}\} 2 \pi \bar{r} d A \\
& =\frac{2 \pi A}{3} \times \frac{1}{4 A^{2}}\left[c_{1} \bar{\psi}_{1}+c_{2} \bar{\psi}_{2}+c_{3} \overline{\psi_{3}}\right]\left[b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\bar{T}_{1} \\
\bar{T}_{2} \\
\bar{T}_{3}
\end{array}\right]  \tag{.3.16}\\
& =\frac{2 \pi}{12 A}\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
c_{1} \bar{\psi}_{1}+c_{2} \bar{\psi}_{2}+c_{3} \bar{\psi}_{3} \\
c_{1} \bar{\psi}_{1}+ & c_{2} \bar{\psi}_{2}+c_{3} \bar{\psi}_{3} \\
c_{1} \bar{\psi}_{1}+ & c_{2} \bar{\psi}_{2}+ \\
c_{3} \bar{\psi}_{3}
\end{array}\right\}\left[b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\bar{T}_{1} \\
\bar{T}_{2} \\
\bar{T}_{3}
\end{array}\right] \tag{3.17}
\end{align*}
$$

Following the same above procedure.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{A}[N]^{T} \frac{\partial \bar{\psi}}{\partial \bar{r}} \frac{\partial \bar{T}}{\partial \bar{z}} 2 \pi d A=\int_{A}\left[\begin{array}{l}
M_{1} \\
M_{2} \\
M_{3}
\end{array}\right] \frac{d[N]}{d \bar{r}}\{\bar{\psi}\} \frac{d[N]}{d \bar{z}}\{\bar{T}\} 2 \pi d A \\
& \int_{A} N^{T} \frac{\partial \bar{\psi}}{\partial \bar{r}} \frac{\partial \bar{T}}{\partial \bar{Z}} 2 \pi d A=\frac{2 \pi}{12 A}\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
b_{1} \bar{\psi}_{1}+b_{2} \bar{\psi}_{2}+b_{3} \bar{\psi}_{3} \\
b_{1} \bar{\psi}_{1}+ & b_{2} \bar{\psi}_{2}+ & b_{3} \bar{\psi}_{3} \\
b_{1} \bar{\psi}_{1}+ & b_{2} \bar{\psi}_{2}+ & b_{3} \bar{\psi}_{3}
\end{array}\right\}\left[c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\bar{T}_{1} \\
\bar{T}_{2} \\
\bar{T}_{3}
\end{array}\right] \tag{3.18}
\end{align*}
$$

The remaining terms of Energy equation can be evaluated in similar fashion of Momentum equation gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{A} N^{T}\left[\frac{1}{\bar{r}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{r}}\left(1+\frac{4 R_{d}}{3}\right)\right] 2 \pi \bar{r} d A=\left[1+\frac{4 R_{d}}{3}\right] \frac{-2 \pi \bar{R}}{4 A}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
b_{1}^{2} & b_{1} b_{2}, & b_{1} b_{3} \\
b_{1} b_{2} & b_{2}^{2} & b_{2} b_{3} \\
b_{1} b_{3} & b_{2} b_{3} & b_{3}^{2}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\bar{T}_{1} \\
\bar{T}_{2} \\
\bar{T}_{3}
\end{array}\right\}  \tag{3.19}\\
& \int_{A} N^{T}\left[\frac{\partial^{2} \bar{T}}{\partial z^{-2}}\right] 2 \pi \bar{r} d A=\frac{-2 \pi \bar{R}}{4 A}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
c_{1}^{2} & c_{1} c_{2} & c_{1} c_{3} \\
c_{1} c_{2} & c_{2}^{2} & c_{2} c_{3} \\
c_{1} c_{3} & c_{2} c_{3} & c_{3}^{2}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\bar{T}_{1} \\
\bar{T}_{2} \\
\bar{T}_{3}
\end{array}\right\} \tag{3.20}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus the stiffness matrix of energy equation (3.14) is given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\frac{2 \pi}{12 A}\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
c_{1} \bar{\psi}_{1}+ & c_{2} \bar{\psi}_{2}+ & c_{3} \bar{\psi}_{3} \\
c_{1} \bar{\psi}_{1}+ & c_{2} \bar{\psi}_{2}+ & c_{3} \bar{\psi}_{3} \\
c_{1} \bar{\psi}_{1}+ & c_{2} \bar{\psi}_{2}+ & c_{3} \bar{\psi}_{3}
\end{array}\right\}\left[b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}\right]-\frac{2 \pi}{12 A}\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
b_{1} \bar{\psi}_{1}+b_{2} \bar{\psi}_{2}+b_{3} \bar{\psi}_{3} \\
b_{1} \bar{\psi}_{1}+ & b_{2} \bar{\psi}_{2}+ \\
b_{3} \bar{\psi}_{3} \\
b_{1} \bar{\psi}_{1}+ & b_{2} \bar{\psi}_{2}+ \\
b_{3} \bar{\psi}_{3}
\end{array}\right\}\left[c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}\right]\right]} \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{l}
\bar{T}_{1} \\
\bar{T}_{2} \\
\bar{T}_{3}
\end{array}\right]+\frac{2 \pi \bar{R}}{4 A}\left\{\left\{1+\frac{4 R_{d}}{3}\right\}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
b_{1}^{2} & b_{1} b_{2} & b_{1} b_{3} \\
b_{1} b_{2} & b_{2}^{2} & b_{2} b_{3} \\
b_{1} b_{3} & b_{2} b_{3} & b_{3}^{2}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\bar{T}_{1} \\
\bar{T}_{2} \\
\bar{T}_{3}
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
c_{1}^{2} & c_{1} c_{2}, & c_{1} c_{3} \\
c_{1} c_{2} & c_{2}^{2} & c_{2} c_{3} \\
c_{1} c_{3} & c_{2} c_{3} & c_{3}^{2}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\bar{T}_{1} \\
\bar{T}_{2} \\
\bar{T}_{3}
\end{array}\right]\right\}} \\
& +\frac{2 \pi A \in}{12 \bar{r}}\left\{\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
1 \\
1
\end{array}\right]\left[b_{1} \bar{\psi}_{1}+b_{2} \bar{\psi}_{2}+b_{3} \bar{\psi}_{3}\right]^{2}+\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
1 \\
1
\end{array}\right]\left[c_{1} \bar{\psi}_{1}+c_{2} \bar{\psi}_{2}+c_{3} \bar{\psi}_{3}\right]^{2}\right\}=0 \tag{3.21}
\end{align*}
$$

## 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:

Results are obtained in terms of the average Nusselt number ( $\overline{\mathrm{N} u}$ ) at hot wall for various parameters such as Rayleigh number $\left(R_{a}\right)$, Cone angle $\left(c_{A}\right)$ radiation parameter $\left(R_{d}\right)$, and Radius ratio $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)$ when heat is supplied to vertical conical annulus.

$$
\text { The average Nusselt number ( } \overline{\mathrm{N} u} \text { ), is given by } \quad \bar{N} u=\int_{0}^{\overline{2}}\left(\frac{\partial \bar{T}}{\partial \bar{r}}\right)
$$

Fig (4.1) shows the streamlines and isothermal lines inside porous medium for various value of Cone angle $\left(\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{A}}\right)$ at $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{a}}=50, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{r}}=1$ and $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{d}}=1$. The fluid gets heated up near hot wall and moves up towards the cold wall due to high buoyancy force and then return to hot wall of the vertical annular cone. The boundary layer thickness decrease with the increase of the Cone angle ( $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{A}}$ ).
Fig (4.2) shows the streamlines and isothermal line distribution inside the porous medium for various values of Cone angle $\left(\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{A}}\right)$ at $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{a}}=100, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{r}}=1$, and $\quad \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{d}}=1$. With increase of the Rayleigh number $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ the thickness of the boundary layer decreases relatively with the Fig (4.1) as expected.

Fig (4.3) shows the variation of average Nusselt number ( $\overline{\mathrm{N} u}$ ) at hot wall with respect to Rayleigh number ( $R_{a}$ ) of the vertical annular cone for various values of Cone angle ( $c_{A}$ ) at $R_{r}$ $=1, R_{d}=1$. It is found that the average Nusselt number ( $\overline{N u}$ ) increases with increase in Rayleigh number $\left(R_{a}\right)$. It can be seen that the average Nusselt number ( $\overline{\mathrm{N} u}$ ) increases with increase in Cone Angle ( $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{A}}$ ) for a given Rayleigh number $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$. The difference between the
average Nusselt number at two different values of Cone angle $\left(\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{A}}\right)$ increases with Cone angle $\left(\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{A}}\right)$ for instance, the average Nusselt number ( $\overline{\mathrm{N} u}$ ) increased by $11.4 \%$ when Cone angle $\left(\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{A}}\right)$ is increased from 15 to $45 \mathrm{Ra}=10$. However the average Nusselt number ( $\overline{\mathrm{Nu}}$ ) increased by $13.8 \%$, when Cone angle ( $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{A}}$ ) is increased from 15 to 45 at $\mathrm{Ra}=100$. This difference becomes more prominent as the Rayleigh number $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ increases for particular value of Cone angle ( $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{A}}$ ).


Fig: 2.4.1: Streamlines(left) and Isotherms(Right) for $\mathrm{Ra}=50, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{r}}=1, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{d}}=1$ . a) $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}=15$ b) $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}=45$ c) $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}=75$

Fig (4.4) depicts the average Nusselt number ( $\overline{\mathrm{N} u}$ ) at hot wall with respect to Rayleigh number $\left(R_{a}\right)$, for various values of Radius ratio $\left(R_{r}\right)$. This figure corresponds to the values $c_{A}$ $=75, R_{d}=1$. It is found that the average Nusselt number ( $\overline{\mathrm{N} u}$ ) increases with increase in Rayleigh number $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$. It can be seen that the average Nusselt number ( $\overline{\mathrm{Nu}}$ ) increases with increase in Radius ratio $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)$. For a given Rayleigh number $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$, the difference between the
average Nusselt number ( $\overline{\mathrm{N} u}$ ) at two different values of Radius ratio $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)$ increase with increase in Radius ratio ( $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{r}}$ ). For instance, the average Nusselt number ( $\overline{\mathrm{N} u}$ ) increased by $56 \%$, when Radius ratio $\left(R_{r}\right)$ is increased from 1 to 5 at $R_{a}=10$. However the average Nusselt number ( $\overline{\mathrm{N} u}$ ) increased by $57 \%$ when Radius ratio ( $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{r}}$ ) is increased from 1 to 5 at $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{a}}=100$. This difference becomes more as the Rayleigh number $\left(R_{a}\right)$ increases for particular value of Radius ratio ( $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{r}}$ ).
Fig (4.5) shows the streamlines and isothermal lines inside the porous medium for various values of Radius ratio $\left(R_{r}\right)$ at $R_{a}=50, c_{A}=15$ and $R_{d}=1$. As the value of Radius ratio ( $R_{r}$ ) increase the magnitude of the streamlines decreases. This is due to reasons that the increased Radius ratio $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)$ promotes the fluid movement due to the higher buoyancy force, which in turn allows the convection heat transfer at lower portion of the hot wall of the vertical angular cone. The thermal boundary layer thickness decreases as the Radius ratio $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)$ increases.



Fig. 2.4.3 : $\overline{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{u}$ variations with Ra at hot surface for different values of $\mathrm{C}_{A}$ at $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{t}}=1, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{d}}=1$


Fig.2.4.4: $\overline{\mathrm{N}} u$ variations with Ra at hot surface for different values of $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{r}}$ at $\mathrm{C}_{A}=75, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{d}}=1$


Fig: 2.4.5: Streamlines(left) and Isotherms(Right) for $\mathrm{Ra}=50, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}=15, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{d}}=1$ a) $R_{r}=1 \quad$ b) $R_{r}=5 \quad$ c) $R_{r}=10$

Fig (4.6) shows the streamlines and isothermal lines inside the porous medium for various values of Radius ratio ( $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{r}}$ ) at $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{a}}=100, \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{A}}=15$ and $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{d}}=1$. With comparison of the Fig (4.5) the boundary layer thickness of the Fig (2.4.6) decrease because of the increase of value of Rayleigh number ( $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{a}}=100$ ).


Fig (4.7) illustrates the variation of the average Nusselt number ( $\overline{\mathrm{Nu} u}$ ) at hot wall, with respect to Radius ratio ( $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{r}}$ ) of the vertical annular cone for various values of Cone angles ( $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{A}}$ ) at values $R_{a}=50, R_{d}=1$. It is found that the average Nusselt number ( $\bar{N} u$ ) increases with increase in Radius ratio ( $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{r}}$ ). It can be seen that the average Nusselt number ( $\overline{\mathrm{N} u}$ ) increases with increase in Cone angle $\left(\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{A}}\right)$. For a given Radius ratio $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)$, the difference between the average Nusselt number ( $\overline{\mathrm{N} u}$ ) for two difference values of Cone Angle ( $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{A}}$ ) increased with increase in Cone angle ( $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{A}}$ ). For instance, the average Nusselt number ( $\overline{\mathrm{Nu} u}$ ) increased $11.4 \%$, when Cone angle $\left(c_{A}\right)$ is increased 15 to 45 , at $R_{r}=1$. However the average Nusselt number ( $\overline{\mathrm{N} u}$ ) increased $6.6 \%$ when cone angle is increased 15 to 45 at $R_{r}=10$. This difference becomes more as the Radius Ratio $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)$ increase.


Fig.2.4.7: $\bar{N} u$ variations with $R_{r}$ at hot surface for different values of $C_{A}$ at $R a=50, R_{d}=1$

Fig (4.8) represents the streamlines and isothermal lines for various values of Radius ratio $\left(R_{r}\right)$ at $R_{a}=50, c_{A}=75$ and $R_{r}=1$. It is clear from the streamlines and isothermal lines that the thermal boundary layer thickness decreases as the Radius ratio ( $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{r}}$ ) increases. The magnitude of the streamlines increases as Radius ratio $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)$ increases and tends to move towards the cold wall of the vertical annular cone. At low Radius ratio $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)$ the streamlines tend to occupy the half domain of the vertical annular cone as compared to the higher value, of Radius ratio $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)$. It is clearly seen that more convection heat transfer take place as the upper portion of the vertical annular cone. The streamlines and isothermal lines shifts from the left upper portion of the hot wall to the upper portion of the cold wall of vertical annular cone as the Radius ratio $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)$ increases.
Fig (4.9) represents the streamlines and isothermal lines for various values of Radius ratio $\left(R_{r}\right)$ at $R_{a}=100, c_{A}=75$ and $R_{d}=1$. Almost connecting for Fig. 4.8 will hold good here also.
Fig (4.10) shows the variation of average Nusselt number ( $\overline{\mathrm{N} u}$ ) at hot wall, with respect to Rayleigh number $\left(R_{a}\right)$ of the vertical annular cone for various values of Cone angle $\left(c_{A}\right)$ at $R_{a}$ $=100, R_{d}=1$. It is found that the average Nusselt number ( $\overline{\mathrm{N} u}$ ) increases with increase in Radius ratio ( $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{r}}$ ). It can be seen that the average Nusselt number ( $\overline{\mathrm{N} u}$ ) increase with increase in Cone angle $\left(\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{A}}\right)$. For a given Radius ratio $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)$ the difference between the average Nusselt number ( $\overline{\mathrm{Nu}}$ ) increase $11.8 \%$, when Cone angle ( $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{A}}$ ). 15 to 45 at $\mathrm{Rr}=1$. However the average Nusselt number ( $\overline{\mathrm{N} u}$ ) increased $6.2 \%$ when Cone angle ( $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{A}}$ ) is increased 15 to 45 at $R_{r}=10$. This difference becomes more prominent as the Radius ratio $\left(R_{r}\right)$ increase. The average Nusselt number ( $\overline{\mathrm{N} u}$ ) increases substantially when the Cone angle ( $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{A}}$ ) increased for $45^{0}$ to $75^{0}$.
Fig (4.11) depicts the average Nusselt number ( $\overline{\mathrm{N} u}$ ) at hot wall with respect to Radius ratio $\left(R_{r}\right)$, for various values of Radiation parameter $\left(R_{d}\right)$. This figure corresponds to the values $R_{a}$ $=50, c_{A}=75$. It is found that the average Nusselt number ( $\overline{\mathrm{N} u}$ ) increases with increase in Radius ratio ( $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{r}}$ ). It can also be seen that the average Nusselt number ( $\overline{\mathrm{Nu}}$ ) increases with increase in Radiation parameter $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{d}}\right)$. For a given Radius ratio $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)$, the difference between the average Nusselt number ( $\overline{\mathrm{N} u}$ ) increased by $128 \%$, when Radiation parameter $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{d}}\right)$ is increased form 1 to 5 , at $R_{r}=1$. However the average Nusselt number ( $\overline{\mathrm{N} u}$ ) increased by
$159 \%$, when Radiation parameter $\left(R_{d}\right)$ is increased from 1 to 5 , at $R_{r}=10$. This difference becomes more prominent as the Radius ratio $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)$ increase.


b)


c)


Fig:2.4.8: Streamlines(left) and Isotherms(Right) for $\mathrm{Ra}=50, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}=75, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{d}}=1$
a) $R_{r}=1$
b) $R_{r}=5$ c) $R_{r}=10$


Fig:2.4.9: Streamlines(left) and Isotherms(Right) for $\mathrm{Ra}=100, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}=75, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{d}}=1$
a) $R_{r}=1 \quad$ b) $R_{r}=5 \quad$ c) $R_{r}=10$


Fig.2.4.10: $\overline{\text { Nu }}$ variations with $R_{r}$ at hot surface for different values of $C_{A}$ at $R=100, R_{d}=1$


Fig.2.4.11: $\overline{N u}$ variations with $R_{f}$ at hot surface for different values of $R_{d}$ at $R a=50, C_{A}=75$

Fig (4.12) shows the streamlines and isothermal lines inside the porous medium for various values of Radiation parameter $\left(R_{d}\right)$ at $R_{a}=50, c_{A}=15$ and $R_{r}=1$. For the increase of values of the Radiation parameter $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{d}}\right)$ the boundary layer thickness decreases. The streamlines and isothermal lines tend to occupy the whole domain of the vertical annular cone.

Fig (4.13) shows the streamlines and isothermal lines inside the porous medium for various value of Radiation parameter $\left(R_{d}\right)$ at $R_{a}=100, c_{A}=15$ and $R_{r}=1$. It can be observed that there is not much change in streamlines in increases when Ra changes for 50 to 100.
Fig (4.14) shows the variation of average Nusselt number ( $\overline{\mathrm{N} u}$ ) at hot wall, with respect to Radius ratio ( $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{r}}$ ) of the vertical annular cone for various values of Radiation parameter ( $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{d}}$ ). This figure corresponds to the values $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{a}}=100, \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{A}}=75$. It can be observed from Fig 2.14 that the increase of $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{a}}$ from 50 to 100 has a small effect in the presence of the radiation for values of $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{d}}=1,5,10$.


Fig:2.4.12: Streamlines(left) and Isotherms(Right) for $\mathrm{Ra}=50, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}=15, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{r}}=1$ a) $R_{d}=1$ b) $R_{d}=5$ c) $R_{d}=10$


Fig:2.4.13: Streamlines(left) and Isotherms(Right) for $\mathrm{Ra}=100, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}=15, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{r}}=1$ a) $R_{d}=1$ b) $R_{d}=5$ c) $R_{d}=10$

Fig (4.15) illustrates the variation of average Nusselt number ( $\overline{\mathrm{Nu} \text { ) at hot wall, with respect }}$ to Radius ratio ( $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{r}}$ ) of the vertical annular cone for various values of Cone angle ( $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{A}}$ ) Rayleigh number $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ at values $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{d}}=1, \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{A}}=75$. It is found that the average Nusselt number ( $\overline{\mathrm{Nu}}$ ) at $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{r}}=1$ is increased by $1.4 \%$. The corresponding increase in average Nusselt number ( $\overline{\mathrm{Nu}}$ ) at $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{r}}=10$ is found to be $2.7 \%$. This is due to the reason that high Rayleigh number $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ produces high buoyancy force, which leads to increases fluid movements and thus increased the average Nusselt number ( $\overline{\mathrm{N} u}$ ). For a given Radius ratio ( $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{r}}$ ) increase in Ra variation increase in average Nusselt number ( $\overline{\mathrm{N} u}$ ) with very little effect from $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{a}}=25$ to 50 and the effect increases marginally for $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{a}}=75$ to 100 .
The porous medium for various values of Radiation parameter $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{d}}\right)$. This figure corresponds $R_{a}=50, c_{A}=75$ and $R_{r}=1$. For the increase of the values of Radiation parameter $\left(R_{d}\right)$ the
formation of the streamlines and isothermal lines with these values increases. The streamlines and isothermal lines tend to occupy the major part of the domain from hot wall to cold wall.

b)

c)



Fig:2.4.16: Streamlines(left) and Isotherms(Right) for $\mathrm{Ra}=50, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}=75, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{r}}=1$
a) $R_{d}=1$ b) $R_{d}=5$ c) $R_{d}=10$


Fig:2.4.17: Streamlines(left) and Isotherms(Right) for $\mathrm{Ra}=100, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}=75, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{r}}=1$
a) $R_{d}=1$ b) $R_{d}=5$ c) $R_{d}=10$

Fig (4.17) illustrates the streamlines and isothermal lines distribution inside the porous medium for various value of Radiation parameter $\left(R_{d}\right)$. This figure corresponds to $R_{a}=100$, $c_{A}=75$ and $R_{r}=1$. For the increase of the value of Radiation parameter $\left(R_{d}\right)$ the formation of the streamlines with these values increases. The streamlines and isothermal lines tend to occupy the major part of the domain form hot wall to cold wall. Fig (4.17) is similar to Fig. (4.16) through values vary slightly.

Fig (4.18) shows the variation of average Nusselt number ( $\overline{\mathrm{N} u}$ ) at hot wall, with respect to Radiation parameter $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{d}}\right)$ of the vertical annular cone for various values of Cone angle $\left(\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{A}}\right)$. This figure corresponds to the values $R_{r}=1, R_{a}=50$. It is found that the average Nusselt number ( $\overline{\mathrm{N} u}$ ) increases with increase in Radiation parameter $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{d}}\right)$. It can be seen that the average Nusselt number ( $\overline{\mathrm{N} u}$ ) increases with increase in Cone angle ( $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{A}}$ ). For a given Radiation parameter $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{d}}\right)$, the difference between the average Nusselt number ( $\overline{\mathrm{N} u}$ ) at two different values of Cone angle ( $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{A}}$ ) increases with increase in Cone angle ( $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{A}}$ ). For instance the average Nusselt number ( $\overline{\mathrm{N} u}$ ) increased by $12.4 \%$ when Cone angle ( $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{A}}$ ) is increased from 15 to 45 at $R_{d}=1$. However the average Nusselt number ( $\overline{\mathrm{N} u}$ ) increased by $3.1 \%$.

When Cone angle $\left(c_{A}\right)$ is increased from 15 to 45 at $R_{d}=10$. The increase in $\bar{N} u$ for increase of Cone angle $\left(\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{A}}\right)$ form $45^{0}$ to $75^{0}$ is substantial. It is interesting to note that the variation of $\overline{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{u}$ with $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{d}}$ is linear for the three Cone angles ( $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{A}}$ ).


Fig (4.19) depicts the average Nusselt number ( $\overline{\mathrm{N} u}$ ) at hot wall with respect to Radiation parameter $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{d}}\right)$, for various values of Cone angle $\left(\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{A}}\right)$. This figure corresponds to the values $R_{r}=1, R_{a}=100$. Fig (4.19) is very similar to Fig (4.18) and the connects for Fig (4.18) will hold good for Fig. (4.19) also.
Fig (2.20) shows the streamlines and isothermal lines inside the porous medium for various values of Rayleigh number $\left(R_{a}\right)$ at $R_{d}=1, c_{A}=15$ and $R_{r}=1$. The streamlines and isothermal lines tends to move towards the hot wall and away from cold wall of the vertical annular cone as Rayleigh number $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ increases. This increase thermal gradient at hot wall and decreases the same at cold wall of the vertical annular cone. Thus heat transfer rate increase at the hot wall and decreases at cold wall with increasing Rayleigh number $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$. The magnitude of streamlines increases as the Rayleigh number $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ increases. The thermal boundary layer becomes thinner as the Rayleigh number $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ increase.
Fig (2.21) illustrates the streamlines and isothermal lines distribution inside the porous medium for various values of Rayleigh number $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$. This figure corresponds to $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{d}}=1, \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{A}}=$ 75 and $R_{r}=1$. It is in clear from the streamlines and isothermal lines that the thermal boundary layer thickness degreases as the Rayleigh number $\left(R_{a}\right)$ increases. The magnitude of the streamlines increase as Rayleigh number $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ increase. At low Rayleigh number $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ the stream lines tend to occupy the half domain of vertical annular cone as compared to higher value, of Rayleigh number $\left(R_{a}\right)$. It is clearly seen that more convection heat transfer take place at the upper portion of the vertical annular cone.
Fig (4.22) illustrates the variation of average Nusselt number ( $\overline{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{u}$ ) at hot wall, with respect to Radiation parameter $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{d}}\right)$ of the vertical annular cone for various values of Radius ratio $\left(R_{r}\right)$ at values $R_{a}=50, c_{A}=75$. It is found that the average Nusselt number ( $\overline{\mathrm{N} u}$ ) increases with Radiation parameter $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{d}}\right)$ linearly. It can be seen that the average Nusselt number ( $\overline{\mathrm{Nu}}$ ) increases with increase in Radius ratio $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)$. For a given Radiation parameter $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{d}}\right)$, the difference between the average Nusselt number ( $\overline{\mathrm{N} u}$ ) at two different values of Radius ratio $\left(R_{r}\right)$ increases with increase in Radius ratio $\left(R_{r}\right)$. For instance, the average Nusselt number ( $\overline{\mathrm{N} u}$ ) increased by $35 \%$, when Radius ratio $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)$ increased from 1 to 5 at $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{d}}=1$. However the
average Nusselt number ( $\overline{\mathrm{N} u}$ ) increased by $48 \%$, when Radius ratio $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)$ is increased from 1 to 5 at $R_{d}=10$. This difference becomes more as the Radiation parameter $\left(R_{d}\right)$ increases.
Fig (4.23) depicts the average Nusselt number ( $\overline{\mathrm{N} u}$ ) at hot wall, with respect to Radiation parameter $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{d}}\right)$ of the vertical annular cone for various values of Radius ratio $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)$ at values $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{a}}$ $=100, \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{A}}=75$. Fig (4.23) is similar to Fig (4.22) and the connects made for Fig (4.22) will hold good for Fig. (4.23) also.


Fig:2.4.20: Streamlines(left) and Isotherms(Right) for $R_{d}=1, C_{A}=15, R_{r}=1$
a) $\mathrm{Ra}=25$ b) $\mathrm{Ra}=75$ c) $\mathrm{Ra}=100$
a)


b)


c)



Fig:4.2.21: Streamlines(left) and Isotherms(Right) for $R_{d}=1, C_{A}=75, R_{r}=1$ a) $\mathrm{Ra}=25$ b) $\mathrm{Ra}=75$ c) $\mathrm{Ra}=100$


## 5.CONCLUSION

In this paper, we concentrated on the study of heat transfer by free Convection in a saturated porous medium including radiation confined in a vertical conical annular porous medium
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